

1 The Honorable David G. Estudillo
2
3
4
5
6

7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA
9

10 ELIAS PENA, ISAIAH HUTSON, and
11 RAY ALANIS,
12

13 Plaintiffs,
14

15 v.
16 CLARK COUNTY, WASHINGTON,
17

18 Defendant.
19

20 No. 3:21-cv-05411-DGE
21

22 DECLARATION OF
23 JAYNE L. FREEMAN
24

25 I, Jayne L. Freeman, declare as follows:

26 1. I am over the age of 18 and am otherwise competent to testify as to all
27 matters herein, and make the following statements based on my own personal knowledge.
I am one of the attorneys representing Defendant Clark County,

2. Attached as **Exhibit A** are true and correct copies of deposition transcript
28 excerpts of Ray Alanis;

3. Attached as **Exhibit B** are true and correct copies of deposition transcript
29 excerpts of Isaiah Hutson;

4. Attached as **Exhibit C** are true and correct copies of deposition transcript
30 excerpts of Elias Pena;

5. Attached as **Exhibit D** are true and correct copies of interrogatory responses
31 of Plaintiffs Elias Pena, Isaiah Hutson and Ray Alanis.

1
2 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that the
foregoing is true and correct.
3

4 Dated this 15th day of May, 2023, at Seattle, Washington.
5

6 /s/ Jayne L. Freeman
7 Jayne L. Freeman, WSBA #24318

8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on May 15, 2023, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the following:

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Roger M. Townsend, WSBA #25525
Daniel F. Johnson, WSBA #27848
BRESKIN JOHNSON & TOWNSEND
PLLC
1000 Second Ave., Suite 3670
Seattle, WA 98104
Phone: 206-652-8660
Fax: 206-652-8290
Email: rtownsend@bjtlegal.com
djohnson@bjtlegal.com
jmcclure@bjtlegal.com
admin@bjtlegal.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Luis L. Lozada
(Pro Hac Vice) NY Bar No. 5742945
Fernandez Nunez
(Pro Hac Vice) CA Bar No. 327390
MEXICAN AMERICAN LEGAL
DEFENSE AND EDUCATIONAL FUND
634 S. Spring Street, 11th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90014
Phone: 213-629-2512
Email: llozada@maldef.org
fnunez@maldef.org
mcorona@MALDEF.org

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Leticia Saucedo
(Pro Hac Vice) NY Bar No. 2953255
MEXICAN AMERICAN LEGAL
DEFENSE AND EDUCATIONAL FUND
1512 Fourth Street
Sacramento, CA 95814
Phone: 702-324-6186
Email: lsaucedo@maldef.org

Attorneys for Attorney for Defendant Clark County

Leslie Anne Lopez, WSBA # 46118
Chief Civil Deputy Prosecuting Attorney
CLARK COUNTY PROSECUTING
ATTORNEY
PO Box 5000
Vancouver, WA 98666-5000
Phone: (564) 397-4755
Email: Leslie.Lopez@clark.wa.gov
nichole.carnes@clark.wa.gov

DATED: May 15, 2023

/s/ *Jayne L. Freeman*

Jayne L. Freeman, WSBA #24318
Email: jfreeman@kbmlawyers.com

EXHIBIT A

Deposition of Ray Valentino Alanis

Pena, et al. v. Clark County, Washington

July 27, 2022



206.287.9066 | 800.846.6989

1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1840, Seattle, Washington 98101

www.buellrealtime.com

email: info@buellrealtime.com



Pena, et al. v. Clark County, Washington

Ray Valentino Alanis

Page 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE
ELIAS PENA, ISAIAH HUTSON, and)
RAY ALANIS,) No. 3:21-cv-05411-DGE
)
Plaintiffs,)
v.)
)
CLARK COUNTY, WASHINGTON,)
)
Defendant.)

VIDEO RECORDED DEPOSITION OF RAY VALENTINO ALANIS
Taken on behalf of Defendant

BE IT REMEMBERED THAT, pursuant to the Washington State Court Rules, the video recorded deposition of RAY VALENTINO ALANIS was taken before Tevin Hinson Green, a Washington Certified Shorthand Reporter, on Wednesday, July 27th, 2022, commencing at the hour of 9:25 a.m., in the PUBLIC SERVICE CENTER BUILDING, 1300 Franklin Street, Vancouver, Washington.

Page 2

1 APPEARANCES:

2 MEXICAN AMERICAN LEGAL DEFENSE AND EDUCATIONAL FUND
3 BY MR. ANDRES HOLGUIN-FLORES
4 634 S Spring Street, 11th Floor
5 Los Angeles, CA 90014
6 213-629-2512 . ahoguin-flores@maldef.org
7 Attorney for Plaintiffs

8 MEXICAN AMERICAN LEGAL DEFENSE AND EDUCATIONAL FUND
9 BY MS. LETICIA SAUCEDO
10 1512 Fourth Street
11 Sacramento, CA 95814
12 702-324-6186 . lsaucedo@maldef.org
13 Attorney for Plaintiffs

14 KEATING BUCKLIN MCCORMACK, INC.
15 BY MS. JAYNE LYN FREEMAN
16 801 2nd Avenue, Suite 1210
17 Seattle, WA 98104
18 206-623-8861 . jfreeman@kbmlawyers.com
19 Attorney for Defendant

20 ALSO PRESENT: Allyson Salud (Videographer), Luis L.
21 Lozada, Gabriela MacPherson, Diego Haro, Nichole Carnes
22 (where noted)

Page 3

1 (Exhibits 31-37 premarked.)
2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. This is
3 volume 1 in the deposition of Ray Alanis, represented by
4 Andres Holguin-Flores in the matter of Pena et al., versus
5 Clark County Washington, case number 321CV05411DGE, in the
6 United States District Court for the Western District of
7 Washington at Seattle.

8 The time now is approximately 9:25 a.m. on
9 Wednesday of July 27th, 2022, and we are convening at
10 Public Service Center Building, 1300 Franklin Street,
11 Vancouver, Washington.

12 My name is Allyson Salud from Buell Reporting,
13 LLC, located at 1325 4th Avenue, Suite 1840 in Seattle,
14 Washington 98101. Starting on my left, will counsel and
15 all present please identify themselves for the record.

16 MR. HOLGUIN-FLORES: Andres Holguin-Flores
17 on behalf of plaintiff, Ray Alanis. And I am an attorney
18 from the Mexican American Legal Defense and Educational
19 Fund.

20 MS. FREEMAN: Jayne Freeman, I'm a special
21 prosecuting attorney for Clark County from Keating,
22 Bucklin & McCormack, and I am representing defendant Clark
23 County in this matter.

24 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The court reporter may
25 now swear in the witness.

Page 4

1 RAY VALENTINO ALANIS,
2 Having first been sworn by the Certified Shorthand Reporter
3 to tell the truth, testified under oath as follows:

6 EXAMINATION

7 MS. FREEMAN:

8 Q **Good morning.**
9 A Good morning.
10 Q **I'm still dealing with some technical issues --**
11 A You're fine.
12 Q **-- so I'm just trying to see if someone can help me**
13 **out with that.**

14 **Mr. Alanis, am I pronouncing that correctly?**

15 A Yes, you are.
16 Q **Okay. We met earlier. My name is Jayne Freeman, and**
17 **I'm representing Clark County, the defendant, in this**
18 **lawsuit. You are a plaintiff in this lawsuit; is that**
19 **right?**

20 A Yes, ma'am.
21 Q **And you are pursuing discrimination claims against**
22 **Clark County?**
23 A Yes, ma'am.
24 Q **Could you please state and spell your full name for**
25 **the record and the court reporter?**

1 (Pages 1 to 4)

Pena, et al. v. Clark County, Washington

Ray Valentino Alanis

Page 241			Page 243		
1	John May?		1	EXHIBIT INDEX	
2	A They work underneath him.		2	(Continued)	
3	Q As do you; correct?		3	Exhibit 34 Memo from Kara Hill	172
4	A No. They work under Tim Waggoner. He's their		4	To Ray Alanis	
5	superintendent.		5	Dated 06-18-2020	
6	Q Okay. Are there other crew chiefs who also work		6	Exhibit 35 E-mail from Ray Alanis	179
7	under Tim Waggoner?		7	to Kathleen Otto and	
8	A Justin Meats, I believe.		8	Ahmad Qayoumi	
9	Q Are you alleging that Justin Meats has engaged in any		9	Dated 6-23-2020	
10	racially discriminatory conduct?		10	Exhibit 36 (Not used in deposition)	
11	A I'm not saying that about Justin. Okay.		11	Exhibit 37 Human Resources Briefing	186
12	Q That's all the questions I have today. Thank you. I		12	Report: Public Works	
13	think.		13	Operations Road Maintenance	
14	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: All right. We are now		14	Staff Feedback	
15	off the recorded. The time is 4:27 p.m.		15		
16	(DEPOSITION ADJOURNED AT 4:27 P.M.)		16		
17	(SIGNATURE RESERVED.)		17		
18	(NOTE: Untranscribed steno notes archived		18		
19	ten years on computer; transcribed English		19		
20	files archived five years on computer.)		20		
21	***		21	***	
22			22		
23			23		
24			24		
25			25		
Page 242			Page 244		
1	EXAMINATION INDEX		1	CERTIFICATE	
2	Page		2		
3	Examination by Ms. Freeman	4	3	I, Tevin Hinson Green, a Washington Certified	
4	Examination by Mr. Holguin-Flores	229	4	Court Reporter, hereby certify that said witness	
5	Further Examination by Ms. Freeman	336	5	personally appeared before me at the time and place set	
6	***		6	forth in the caption hereof; that at said time and place I	
7	REFERENCED EXHIBITS		7	reported in stenotype all testimony adduced and other oral	
8	No.	Item	8	proceedings had in the foregoing matter; that thereafter	
9	Exhibit 1	206	9	my notes were transcribed through computer-aided	
10	Exhibit 2	208	10	transcription, under my direction; and that the foregoing	
11	Exhibit 4	208	11	pages constitute a full, true and accurate record of all	
12	Exhibit 10	204	12	such testimony adduced and oral proceedings had, and of	
13	Exhibit 12	161	13	the whole thereof.	
14	Exhibit 13	165	14	I further certify that review of the transcript was	
15	Exhibit 18	167	15	reserved.	
16	Exhibit 19	167	16	Witness my hand at Portland, Oregon, this 10th	
17	Exhibit 20	167	17	day of August, 2022.	
18			18		
19			19		
20	EXHIBIT INDEX		20	Tevin Hinson Green	
21	Exhibit 31	Charge of Discrimination	21	Washington CCR No. 3460	
22	Exhibit 32	Discovery Requests	22	Expires 09/14/2022	
23	Exhibit 33	E-mail from Ray Alanis	23		
24	to Kara Hill		24		
25			25		

Pena, et al. v. Clark County, Washington

Ray Valentino Alanis

Page 25

1 A It was -- it was cordial at first.
 2 Q **What types of situations would bring you and**
 3 **Mr. Lipscomb together to -- to directly interact?**
 4 A He would come to the job sites to see the process of
 5 what we do.
 6 Q **And so were there times that Mr. Lipscomb came to the**
 7 **job site and you showed him what was going on or talked to**
 8 **him about what you were doing?**
 9 A He seen us working in the field and was cordial prior
 10 to this incident. He was so fresh on the -- as a county
 11 worker in that position.
 12 Q **Prior to -- so this interaction that you were**
 13 **describing in the crew chief meeting with Mr. Lipscomb**
 14 **that occurred in, when, about in March of 2022?**
 15 A March of 2022, that I can recall.
 16 Q **So Mr. Lipscomb was not even working for the county**
 17 **yet when you filed this lawsuit; is that correct?**
 18 A He wasn't presently.
 19 Q **Okay. So he -- he was not a person -- there was**
 20 **nothing that Mr. Lipscomb had done prior to your filing**
 21 **your lawsuit that you base your lawsuit on at that time;**
 22 **is that right?**
 23 A He's so fresh.
 24 Q **Is that a, no, he was not someone that you were --**
 25 A No.

Page 25

Page 27

1 A I don't believe so.
 2 Q **Are you claiming in this lawsuit that Carolyn Heniges**
 3 **discriminated against you or harassed you because of your**
 4 **race?**
 5 A I don't believe Carolyn.
 6 Q **Are you alleging in this lawsuit that Ahmad**
 7 **Qayoumi -- I'm not great at pronouncing his name, but the**
 8 **former public works director discriminated against or**
 9 **harassed you because of your race?**
 10 A I believe there's discrimination in that aspect,
 11 because it was never brought to light or addressed, and he
 12 was aware of it.
 13 Q **Okay. So just to clarify, are you alleging that --**
 14 **aside from concerns about whether Ahmad did not address**
 15 **something he may have been aware of, are you alleging that**
 16 **Ahmad Qayoumi, the former public works director, engaged**
 17 **in any racially harassing conduct directly towards you?**
 18 A He hasn't done that other than not addressing it,
 19 enabling it to happen.
 20 Q **Are you alleging in this lawsuit that Darrell Young**
 21 **engaged in any discriminatory or harassing behavior or**
 22 **conduct toward you because of your race?**
 23 A I don't believe so.
 24 Q **Is Darrell Young a coworker?**
 25 A He's a coworker, but he's a person in position as a

Page 26

1 Q **-- basing your claims on?**
 2 **Are you currently intending to pursue claims**
 3 **against the county based on this interaction with**
 4 **Mr. Lipscomb in March of 2022?**
 5 A I believe it's discrimination and harassment.
 6 Q **And you believe that it's -- Mr. Lipscomb was**
 7 **discriminating and harassing you in this March 2022**
 8 **meeting because of your race being Latino?**
 9 A I believe I was discriminated at -- towards me from
 10 what he's heard from others. I believe it stems from
 11 that.
 12 Q **And you believe it stems from what he has heard from**
 13 **you from other -- heard about you from Tim Waggoner and**
 14 **nick Eisland; is that right?**
 15 A Those are the only people that rub shoulders with
 16 him.
 17 Q **Okay. Do you actually have any idea what Tim**
 18 **Waggoner or Nick Eisland may have shared with Josh**
 19 **Lipscomb?**
 20 A I don't at this moment.
 21 Q **Has Mr. Lipscomb ever asked you about the claims**
 22 **you're making in this case or about your lawsuit?**
 23 A He hasn't.
 24 Q **Are you claiming in this lawsuit that Carl Oman**
 25 **discriminated or harassed you based on your race?**

Page 26

Page 28

1 crew chief currently.
 2 Q **What crew is he -- is Darrell Young a crew chief for**
 3 **currently?**
 4 A Fin Hill.
 5 Q **Have you ever worked with Mr. Young in his capacity**
 6 **as crew chief?**
 7 A Have I worked underneath him is the question?
 8 Q **Yes.**
 9 A I believe so. When they need the help.
 10 Q **How long has Mr. Young been a crew chief?**
 11 A I can't recall. Maybe a year.
 12 Q **Is Mr. Young also a member of the same local**
 13 **307 union that you are a member of?**
 14 A He is.
 15 Q **And has he also served as the union president?**
 16 A He has.
 17 (Court reporter requested clarification.)
 18 MS. FREEMAN: "Has he also served as a
 19 union president?"
 20 Q **BY MS. FREEMAN: Has Mr. Young represented you in his**
 21 **capacity as a union president in union business with the**
 22 **county?**
 23 A He has.
 24 Q **Is he currently the union president?**
 25 A No.

7 (Pages 25 to 28)

Pena, et al. v. Clark County, Washington

Ray Valentino Alanis

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 213</p> <p>1 A At this time.</p> <p>2 Q Like within the last two or three years or before that?</p> <p>3 A That's a tough one.</p> <p>4 Q Okay. I mean --</p> <p>5 A I -- I don't know on that time.</p> <p>6 Q Okay.</p> <p>7 A I don't know that time frame.</p> <p>8 Q Do you know what information or whether Brandon Pilot would have information related to the claims you're making in this lawsuit?</p> <p>9 A From what I had heard is he was in the room when those comments were being made.</p> <p>10 Q Which comments?</p> <p>11 A Trump's doing a good job: Cops are killing niggers, and he's building a wall to keep the Mexicans out.</p> <p>12 Q And who told you that Brandon Pilot was in the room when those comments were made?</p> <p>13 A I believe it was Isaiah Hutson.</p> <p>14 Q And you -- you were not there yourself; correct?</p> <p>15 A No.</p> <p>16 Q Do you know information that Isidoro -- it's Isidoro or Chilo -- two and the same person --</p> <p>17 A Yes.</p> <p>18 Q -- Isidoro or Chilo Flores might have related to the</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 215</p> <p>1 Q Richard who?</p> <p>2 A Wanke.</p> <p>3 Q How do you spell that?</p> <p>4 A W-A-N-K-E.</p> <p>5 Q And when did -- does Richard Wanke work for the county anymore? Still?</p> <p>6 A I believe so.</p> <p>7 Q Do you know what his position is?</p> <p>8 A I don't.</p> <p>9 Q Did hear him make that statement?</p> <p>10 A No, I didn't.</p> <p>11 Q Where did you hear it from?</p> <p>12 A I believe it was Isidoro himself.</p> <p>13 Q And do you know what Mr. Wanke's position is? Did you say you did or --</p> <p>14 A (Shaking head.)</p> <p>15 Q -- didn't know?</p> <p>16 Q Does he work in the roads division?</p> <p>17 A I don't think so. It may be water somewhere. He left Clark County, and then he came back in a different position, role, or something like that.</p> <p>18 Q Did Chilo tell you that he thought that Mr. Wanke made this comment to him because of his race?</p> <p>19 A I don't recall him saying that.</p> <p>20 Q Did he tell you why he thought he made that comment?</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 214</p> <p>1 Q claims that you're making in this case?</p> <p>2 A Repeat the beginning of that again.</p> <p>3 Q Sure. Do you know what information Isidoro, or Chilo, Flores may have that is related to the claims that you are making in this case?</p> <p>4 A The discrimination, he's seen it firsthand, I would say.</p> <p>5 Q Is -- are you saying that Chilo has seen discrimination against you firsthand?</p> <p>6 A I can't recall that. What instance at this time.</p> <p>7 Q Is Chilo Flores Hispanic?</p> <p>8 A He is.</p> <p>9 Q Has Chilo Flores shared with you that he has been discriminated against or harassed based on his race?</p> <p>10 A I believe he has.</p> <p>11 Q And why do you believe that Chilo Flores has been discriminated against because of his race?</p> <p>12 A From what I recall that he was told that by another crew chief, at the time, that he would kill him, his wife, and his family. He would kill them all. Those were words spoken to Chilo.</p> <p>13 Q And who spoke those words to Chilo?</p> <p>14 A That was Richard Wanke.</p> <p>15 Q Who?</p> <p>16 A Richard.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 216</p> <p>1 A Not to my knowledge.</p> <p>2 Q Did Mr. -- did Chilo tell you he took that comment as a threat?</p> <p>3 A Yes.</p> <p>4 Q So you said that you believed Chilo had been discriminated against based on his race. My question was a little different than that.</p> <p>5 Q Has Mr. Flores ever expressed to you or shared with you that he believes he has been discriminated against or harassed because of his race in his employment with the county?</p> <p>6 A I believe he has expressed, yes. As far as discriminating, harassing.</p> <p>7 Q And what has Mr. Flores expressed to you that he has experienced that he feels is discriminating or harassing because of his race?</p> <p>8 A His comments are always, "Don't trust nobody here. Don't trust nobody."</p> <p>9 Q Have you heard that comment from anyone else?</p> <p>10 A Nope.</p> <p>11 Q And has Mr. Flores told you that he makes that comment because he is Hispanic?</p> <p>12 A I believe so.</p> <p>13 Q Does his comment about not trusting anyone include other Hispanic employees?</p>

Pena, et al. v. Clark County, Washington

Ray Valentino Alanis

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 217</p> <p>1 A Absolutely. Discrimination and harassment, what he's 2 seen and experienced.</p> <p>3 Q Maybe my question wasn't clear. Has Mr. Flores 4 indicated that he also doesn't trust his coworkers who are 5 Hispanic? Has he told you don't trust no one who is not 6 Hispanic, or has he told you don't trust no one at all?</p> <p>7 A He hasn't clarified as far as Hispanics.</p> <p>8 Q But you have taken that comment to mean that he 9 distinguishes --</p> <p>10 A There's a distinguishing line there.</p> <p>11 Q -- the people to trust and not trust based on race?</p> <p>12 A It's hard to make that judgment call for Chilo 13 Isidoro.</p> <p>14 MR. HOLGUIN-FLORES: Counsel, do you 15 anticipate how much longer we will go?</p> <p>16 MS. FREEMAN: Yeah. Not very much longer. I think why don't we just try to go and finish before we 17 start taking --</p> <p>18 MR. HOLGUIN-FLORES: Sounds.</p> <p>19 MS. FREEMAN: -- more breaks.</p> <p>20 MR. HOLGUIN-FLORES: No. Thank you.</p> <p>21 MS. FREEMAN: Yep.</p> <p>22 Q BY MS. FREEMAN: Are you familiar with Julio Morales?</p> <p>23 A Absolutely.</p> <p>24 Q And what position does he hold at the county?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 219</p> <p>1 because the asphalt eats up your boots pretty good. I was 2 denied those hours by Kenny Price, personally.</p> <p>3 Q Have you ever heard anyone else complain about Kenny 4 Price denying them pay for filling in as work chief or 5 working out of class?</p> <p>6 A Not that I can recall at the moment.</p> <p>7 Q So aside from -- was it just one time that 8 Mr. Morales told you that Kenny Price denied him 9 out-of-class pay for working as a crew chief?</p> <p>10 A Was that the only time you asked?</p> <p>11 Q Yeah. Did he tell you that happened more than once 12 or that it happened one time?</p> <p>13 A It was weeks that he filled in as a crew chief and 14 was denied the pay. Weeks.</p> <p>15 Q So it was weeks that Mr. Morales filled in as an 16 acting crew chief, and that Kenny Price denied him pay for 17 that entire time?</p> <p>18 A Yes. It could even be possibly months.</p> <p>19 Q Do you recall around the time period that was?</p> <p>20 A I can't tell you the time period. That would have to 21 be a Julio question.</p> <p>22 Q Was that Julio who shared that with you himself?</p> <p>23 A Julio Morales.</p> <p>24 Q And did Mr. Morales tell you that he believed Kenny 25 Price denied him out-of-class pay for filling in as crew</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 218</p> <p>1 A He's at the Sign Shop right now.</p> <p>2 Q Do you know whether Mr. Morales has any information 3 you that feel is related to the claims you're making in 4 this case?</p> <p>5 A I believe he can testify of what we're dealing with 6 and what he's experienced.</p> <p>7 Q And has Mr. Morales shared with you that he feels he 8 has been discriminated against or harassed because of his 9 race?</p> <p>10 A He has expressed discrimination by them not paying 11 him as filling in as head crew chief. He was denied his 12 pay by Kenny Price.</p> <p>13 Q Do you know why?</p> <p>14 A Kenny Price was the type that would -- more of the 15 demand type.</p> <p>16 Q He was the what?</p> <p>17 A More of a demanding type.</p> <p>18 Q Oh, a demanding type. Okay.</p> <p>19 Have you heard other people complain that Kenny 20 Price denied them pay when they filled in as a crew chief?</p> <p>21 A He denied my boot hours. Work-boot hours.</p> <p>22 Q What are work-boot hours?</p> <p>23 A Work-boot hours are when you're working in asphalt 24 and after so many hours you get so many hours in asphalt, 25 you get an extra per diem allowance for work boots,</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 220</p> <p>1 chief for weeks or up to months, because he's Hispanic?</p> <p>2 A He'd considered it harassment, discrimination.</p> <p>3 Q Did he tell you he considered -- he thought that he 4 was denied the pay because of his race?</p> <p>5 A He didn't come out and say that.</p> <p>6 Q Anything else that Mr. Morales shared with you 7 about -- or where he told you he believed he had been 8 discriminated against or harassed because of his race?</p> <p>9 A Not that I can recall at this moment.</p> <p>10 Q Who is Joey Morris?</p> <p>11 A Joey Morris is an ex-county worker.</p> <p>12 Q And do you believe he may have any information 13 related to the claims you're making in this case?</p> <p>14 A He could be a good witness.</p> <p>15 Q What information would he have?</p> <p>16 A Just things he's seen here at Clark County, how 17 things have taken place.</p> <p>18 Q Have you talked to Mr. Morris about being a good 19 witness in your case?</p> <p>20 A I will say he came to me.</p> <p>21 Q And what did he come to you about?</p> <p>22 A And he asked me if it was anything that he's ever 23 done concerning these allegations.</p> <p>24 Q When did he do that?</p> <p>25 A We were on chip seal one summer. Maybe last season,</p>

EXHIBIT B

Deposition of Isaiah Hutson

Pena, et al. v. Clark County, Washington

July 25, 2022



206.287.9066 | 800.846.6989

1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1840, Seattle, Washington 98101

www.buellrealtime.com

email: info@buellrealtime.com



Pena, et al. v. Clark County, Washington

Isaiah Hutson

Page 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

ELIAS PENA, ISAIAH HUTSON,)
and RAY ALANIS,)
Plaintiffs,)
v.)No. 3:21-cv-05411-DGE
CLARK COUNTY, WASHINGTON,)
Defendant.)

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF
ISAIAH J. HUTSON
Taken in behalf of Defendant

* * *
July 25, 2022
1300 Franklin Street
Vancouver, Washington

Priscilla (Pia) Harris, CCR
Court Reporter

Page 3

INDEX TO EXHIBITS	
1	1 Complaint.....4
2	2 First Amended Complaint Demand for Jury Trial...4
3	3 Charge of Discrimination.....4
4	4 Plaintiffs' Initial Disclosures.....4
5	5 Interrogatories/RFP's.....4
6	6 Appeal of Discrimination/Harassment Complaint Decision.....4
7	7 Request for Investigation of Discrimination and Harassment.....4
8	8 Elias Conversation With Kara 6/30/30.....4
9	9 E-mail Re Appeal of Discrimination/Harassment Decision.....4
10	10 12/10/20 Letter Re Response to Request for Investigation of Discrimination and Harassment..4
11	11 6/18/20 Letter Re Investigation Conclusion Memo.4
12	12 Job Posting.....4
13	13 Photo of Chalk Board.....4
14	14 E-mail Re Need to talk.....163
15	15 E-mail Re Ray Alanis Grievance.....163
16	16 E-mail Re Out of Class Pay.....163
17	17 E-mail Re Performance and Conduct Standards and Expectations Meeting.....163
18	18 Agreement - 7/1/18 to 6/30/21.....163
19	19 Agreement - 7/1/15 to 6/30/18.....163
20	20 Human Resources Policy Manual.....163
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Page 2

1 APPEARANCES:

2 For the Plaintiff:
3 MALDEF
4 By: Andres Holguin-Flores
Luis L. Lozada (Via Zoom)
11th Floor
5 634 S. Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90014
(213)629-2512
aholguin-flores@maldef.org
llozada@maldef.org

6 For the Defendant:

7 KEATING, BUCKLIN & MCCORMACK
By: Jayne L. Freeman
Suite 1210
801 2nd Avenue
Seattle, WA 98104
(206)623-8861
jfreeman@kbmlawyers.com

9 Also Present:
10 KATHLEEN OTTO (Via Zoom)
DIEGO HARO (Via Zoom)
11 LETICIA SAUCEDO (Via Zoom)
GABRIELA MACPHERSON (Via Zoom)
12 LESLIE LOPEZ (Via Zoom)
AMANDA MIGCHELBRING (Via Zoom)
13 STEPHANIE SPEAR, Videographer

14 INDEX
15 EXAMINATION BY: PAGE NO.
16 MS. FREEMAN.....4

Page 4

1 VANCOUVER, WASHINGTON; MONDAY, JULY 25, 2022
2 9:31 A.M.
3 * * *

4 ISAIAH J. HUTSON
5 called as a witness on behalf of the Defendants,
6 having first been sworn by the Notary,
7 testifies as follows:

8 (Deposition Exhibits 1-13 were marked for
9 identification.)

10 EXAMINATION

11 VIDEOGRAPHER: Here begins the
12 video-recorded deposition of Isaiah Hutson in the
13 matter of Peña, et al versus Clark County, et al.
14 Today is July 25th, 2022 and the time is 9:31. Would
15 attorneys please state their appearances for the
16 record.

17 MR. HOLGUIN-FLORES: Andres Holguin-Flores
18 from the Mexican American Legal Defense and
19 Educational Fund on behalf of plaintiff Isaiah Hutson.

20 MS. FREEMAN: Jayne Freeman from
21 Keating, Bucklin & McCormack on behalf of defendant
22 Clark County.

23 VIDEOGRAPHER: Will the court reporter
24 please swear in the witness.

1 (Pages 1 to 4)

Pena, et al. v. Clark County, Washington

Isaiah Hutson

Page 253	Page 255
<p>1 pulled Ray aside, he wasn't concerned about anybody 2 else knowing, you know, what he had just said. He 3 dismissed everybody and pulled Ray aside. So that, 4 Ray was filing a discrimination and nepotism 5 complaint. Brianna --</p> <p>6 Q And the nepotism was because this guy said 7 he knew Nick, are they related?</p> <p>8 A Yeah. The county says friends or family I 9 believe. And Nick told him he can't know anybody and 10 grade them, so Ray's perspective was that you said I 11 can't know somebody and grade them, not be family.</p> <p>12 Q I'm sorry, I'm confused. There's a nepotism 13 policy at the county that says something about friends 14 and family, what, not being able to work at the 15 county?</p> <p>16 A No, you can't supervise I think is what it 17 is, close friends or family, you cannot -- so -- so if 18 you're a superintendent or a crew chief you cannot be 19 in a direct leadership role of a individual that 20 you're a close friend or family member with.</p> <p>21 Q So what's the definition of a close friend, 22 are you not close friends with people that you work 23 with right now?</p> <p>24 A No, I'm not close friends with people I work 25 with, I go -- I have friends and family outside of</p>	<p>1 record with counsel, and I've expressed some concern 2 over discovery documents that I think have not been 3 produced, including notes and photographs and phone 4 information and potentially social media, texts, et 5 cetera, and/or things that have been destroyed.</p> <p>6 So I am going to recess the deposition at 7 this point so that we can finish up when we follow up 8 on those issues and can complete the deposition when 9 we have a more complete production, and if we have to 10 deal with something with counsel between now and then 11 we will do that.</p> <p>12 And we've also issued an authorization, a 13 release for medical records for Mr. Hutson that we're 14 asking plaintiff to sign so we can follow up on 15 getting that stuff too. So thank you for your 16 cooperation and we will continue this at a later date.</p> <p>17</p> <p>18 (This deposition was adjourned at 4:50 p.m.)</p> <p>19 (Signature reserved.)</p>
Page 254	Page 256
<p>1 work, I go to work to work. I have people that I'm 2 acquainted with.</p> <p>3 Q So you could not, you could not be in any 4 supervisory role over Ray Alanis or nor he over you?</p> <p>5 A Correct.</p> <p>6 Q Has that ever occurred or have you ever had 7 to do anything to avoid that?</p> <p>8 A Not that I remember.</p> <p>9 Q But you're family, you're related; right?</p> <p>10 A Well, we're -- he's my brother-in-law, so I 11 don't know if they consider that family.</p> <p>12 Q Okay. Would you consider him a close friend 13 as well?</p> <p>14 A No.</p> <p>15 Q Can you look at Exhibit 5 please?</p> <p>16 MR. HOLGUIN-FLORES: Before we jump into 17 this exhibit, Counsel, can we take a quick break?</p> <p>18 MS. FREEMAN: Sure.</p> <p>19 VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 4:44 and we are 20 off the record.</p> <p>21 (Recess was taken.)</p> <p>22 (This portion is on the stenographic record 23 only.)</p> <p>24 MS. FREEMAN: We're going back on the record 25 now, it's 4:40 p.m. We've had some discussion off the</p>	<p>1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE 2 STATE OF WASHINGTON) 3) ss. 4 COUNTY OF CLARK)</p> <p>5 I, PRISCILLA (PIA) HARRIS, a Certified 6 Shorthand Reporter for Washington, do hereby 7 certify that, pursuant to stipulation of counsel for 8 the respective parties hereinbefore set forth, 9 ISAIAH J. HUTSON, personally appeared before me at the 10 time and place set forth in the caption hereof; that 11 at said time and place I reported in Stenotype all 12 testimony adduced and other oral proceedings had in 13 the foregoing matter; that thereafter my notes were 14 reduced to typewriting under my direction; and that 15 the foregoing transcript, pages 1 to 255, both 16 inclusive, constitutes a full, true and accurate 17 record of all such testimony adduced and oral 18 proceedings had, and of the whole thereof.</p> <p>19 WITNESS my hand and CSR stamp at Vancouver, 20 Washington, this 16th day of August 2022.</p> <p>21</p> <p>22</p> <p>23</p> <p>24</p> <p>25</p> <p>PRISCILLA (PIA) HARRIS Certified Court Reporter OR CCR No. 01-0377 WA CCR No. 2963</p>

Pena, et al. v. Clark County, Washington

Isaiah Hutson

Page 93

1 around Finn Hill, he already got rid of two of us and
 2 there's only one more to get rid of. Because he
 3 got -- me and Elias have both since been moved to the
 4 Central location.

5 And then there's another Mexican that works
 6 there, Heritage is the, oh gosh, Julio, Julio
 7 De Morales, he was in the room when Nick Eisland said
 8 that, and he was referring to Julio still being there
 9 and they already got rid of me and Elias, so the wall,
 10 Trump wall is almost up, he already got rid of two of
 11 us and now he just needs to get rid of Julio.

12 Q Were you present when Nick Eisland said
 13 that?

14 A Yes.

15 Q Where did that occur?

16 A Finn Hill shed.

17 Q When did that occur?

18 A That occurred in the summer of 2017.

19 Q You're not sure if you shared that
 20 information with Tim Waggoner in 2017 when you talked
 21 to him?

22 A Correct.

23 Q Was this just one conversation with
 24 Mr. Waggoner that you told him that Rich Harris made a
 25 Hitler comment and the comment about sabotaging

Page 93

1 or were you working on the drainage crew?

2 A I was on the drainage crew now, Tim had
 3 become my superintendent.

4 Q Were you telling Tim Waggoner about things
 5 that had happened -- did these things happen to you
 6 while you were on the drainage crew?

7 A Some of the -- the hara -- the daily
 8 harassment, almost daily harassment it seemed is --
 9 was happening on the drainage crew and in Finn Hill,
 10 so yes, I told some of it happened at Finn Hill, some
 11 of it happened while I was on the drainage crew.

12 Q So you testified earlier that Gauge Bryant
 13 told you in May of 2017 that Rich Harris made this
 14 comment about beaners and spics, do you recall that?

15 A Yes.

16 Q How long after Gauge Bryant told you that
 17 was it that you shared that information with Tim
 18 Waggoner?

19 A October.

20 Q So about six months later, five, since
 21 months later?

22 A It was actually probably June because it was
 23 as I was going to the Vactor he told me in a warning
 24 way that this had just occurred and he knew Richard
 25 Harris was going to be my crew chief, so it was

Page 94

1 equipment and throwing things off the roof and that he
 2 was harassing and belittling you?

3 A Was that the only conversation?

4 Q Did all that occur in one conversation with
 5 Mr. Waggoner?

6 A Yes.

7 Q And during that one conversation you had
 8 with Mr. Waggoner about the Hitler comment, the
 9 sabotage comment, and the harassing/belittling comment
 10 did you tell Mr. Waggoner that Rich Harris had said
 11 that the county was hiring all these beaners and
 12 spics?

13 A Yes, I did tell Tim Waggoner that.

14 Q Did you tell him whether or not you heard
 15 that directly?

16 A I did.

17 Q What did you tell him about that?

18 A I told him that it was something that Gauge
 19 Bryant had told me and warned me to look out and I
 20 felt that the threats from 16 and that comment that he
 21 made was playing out against me on the drainage crew,
 22 and that this continued thing I asked for him to
 23 intervene and help.

24 Q So at the time you spoke with Tim Waggoner
 25 about Rich Harris in 2017 were you still at Finn Hill

Page 94

1 probably June, towards, it was the middle of June-ish,
 2 pushing towards August when he told me this.

3 Q When Gauge Bryant told you --

4 A When Gauge Bryant told me this, yes.

5 Q -- that he had heard Rich Harris make the
 6 comment?

7 A Say the county's going to shit because it
 8 keeps hiring all these beaners and spics.

9 Q When Gauge Bryant told you he had heard Rich
 10 Harris make that comment had it just occurred or had
 11 it occurred several months earlier?

12 A It had just, I believe it had just occurred
 13 over lunch when Gauge Bryant told me that.

14 Q And it's your testimony that when you spoke
 15 with Tim Waggoner in October of 2017 you specifically
 16 told him that it was Gauge Bryant, the temporary
 17 worker, and you gave him his name, who had told you he
 18 heard this comment from Rich Harris?

19 A Yes.

20 Q And that you specifically told Tim Waggoner
 21 in October of 2017 that the comment Gauge Bryant
 22 reported to you was that Rich Harris said the county's
 23 going to shit because they're hiring all these beaners
 24 and spics?

25 A That's what I reported to Tim Waggoner.

Page 95

Page 95

1 or were you working on the drainage crew?

2 A I was on the drainage crew now, Tim had
 3 become my superintendent.

4 Q Were you telling Tim Waggoner about things
 5 that had happened -- did these things happen to you
 6 while you were on the drainage crew?

7 A Some of the -- the hara -- the daily
 8 harassment, almost daily harassment it seemed is --
 9 was happening on the drainage crew and in Finn Hill,
 10 so yes, I told some of it happened at Finn Hill, some
 11 of it happened while I was on the drainage crew.

12 Q So you testified earlier that Gauge Bryant
 13 told you in May of 2017 that Rich Harris made this
 14 comment about beaners and spics, do you recall that?

15 A Yes.

16 Q How long after Gauge Bryant told you that
 17 was it that you shared that information with Tim
 18 Waggoner?

19 A October.

20 Q So about six months later, five, since
 21 months later?

22 A It was actually probably June because it was
 23 as I was going to the Vactor he told me in a warning
 24 way that this had just occurred and he knew Richard
 25 Harris was going to be my crew chief, so it was

Page 96

Page 96

1 probably June, towards, it was the middle of June-ish,
 2 pushing towards August when he told me this.

3 Q When Gauge Bryant told you --

4 A When Gauge Bryant told me this, yes.

5 Q -- that he had heard Rich Harris make the
 6 comment?

7 A Say the county's going to shit because it
 8 keeps hiring all these beaners and spics.

9 Q When Gauge Bryant told you he had heard Rich
 10 Harris make that comment had it just occurred or had
 11 it occurred several months earlier?

12 A It had just, I believe it had just occurred
 13 over lunch when Gauge Bryant told me that.

14 Q And it's your testimony that when you spoke
 15 with Tim Waggoner in October of 2017 you specifically
 16 told him that it was Gauge Bryant, the temporary
 17 worker, and you gave him his name, who had told you he
 18 heard this comment from Rich Harris?

19 A Yes.

20 Q And that you specifically told Tim Waggoner
 21 in October of 2017 that the comment Gauge Bryant
 22 reported to you was that Rich Harris said the county's
 23 going to shit because they're hiring all these beaners
 24 and spics?

25 A That's what I reported to Tim Waggoner.

Pena, et al. v. Clark County, Washington

Isaiah Hutson

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 113</p> <p>1 Q When – are you alleging that Gauge Bryant 2 discriminated against you or racially harassed you in 3 this case?</p> <p>4 A No.</p> <p>5 Q Are you alleging that Carol Heniges harassed 6 you, racially harassed you in this case?</p> <p>7 A I believe that Carolyn Heniges's commitment 8 in the room with Ahmad Qayoumi, Kara Hill, Larry 9 Clark, and Darrell Young to do an investigation into 10 my claims that were just made to them about 11 discrimination and harassment, that she ignored them 12 too after they permitted they were going to do it so I 13 feel like yes, that that was a part of discrimination 14 and harassment.</p> <p>15 Q Okay, so I just want to clarify though, are 16 you alleging in this case that Carolyn Heniges engaged 17 in any racially harassing or racially derogatory 18 conduct directly towards you?</p> <p>19 A I believe her conduct in not doing the 20 investigation when I asked for it to be done and was 21 committed to be done and she didn't do it, I believe 22 that was based on my race and that that's 23 discriminatory conduct.</p> <p>24 Q So again, I just want to clarify, are you 25 alleging that Ms. Heniges made any racially derogatory</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 115</p> <p>1 niggers and Trump's kicking out the Mexicans. And I 2 heard Brandon Pilot, John May, and Richard Harris all 3 abrupt in laughter. I didn't hear Justin Meats 4 laughter. So I guess in that case I would say that 5 that made all of them a part of it.</p> <p>6 Q So you heard this one coming out of the 7 bathroom?</p> <p>8 A I heard it while I was in the bathroom, 9 that's how loud it was.</p> <p>10 Q When did this occur?</p> <p>11 A This occurred the summer-ish of 2019.</p> <p>12 Q So before COVID?</p> <p>13 A Yes.</p> <p>14 Q While you were still working, and before you 15 went out on leave; correct?</p> <p>16 A Yes.</p> <p>17 Q And did you ever talk to Isidoro Flores 18 about it?</p> <p>19 A Isidoro Flores, I came out of the bathroom 20 and when the door shut like the people just kind of 21 looked at me, but I beelined for the door, you know, 22 to get out of the there.</p> <p>23 Isidoro came out the back door and he gets 24 in the truck and he goes hey, you're not -- you know 25 what I just heard in there? And I go, oh, yeah, I was</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 114</p> <p>1 comments to you or about you?</p> <p>2 A Comments, no.</p> <p>3 Q Are you alleging that Isidoro Flores made 4 any racially derogatory comments or racially harassed 5 you?</p> <p>6 A No.</p> <p>7 Q Are you alleging that Brandon Pilot made any 8 racially derogatory comments or racially harassed you?</p> <p>9 A Kind of.</p> <p>10 Q What did Brandon Pilot kind of do?</p> <p>11 A He was in the room of crew chiefs, it was 12 Brandon Pilot, John May, Richard Harris. Richard 13 Harris, John May, Brandon Pilot and Justin Meats were 14 in the crew chief room talking. I had used the crew 15 chief's bathroom, they -- I don't think they knew I 16 was in there, I was using the restroom.</p> <p>17 Isidoro Flores had come in the back door of 18 the crew chief room because they had split the crew 19 chief room for crew chiefs so he was back there. That 20 morning was on the heels of a black man being shot in 21 Portland, and it was a pretty racially charged 22 gathering going on in Portland the day before over 23 this shooting.</p> <p>24 And Jeff Kujava came in and he said well, 25 it's a good day at the county boys, cops are killing</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 116</p> <p>1 in there, and we discussed what we both heard and we 2 both heard the same thing.</p> <p>3 Q So this was in the summer of 2019. Did you 4 talk, did you ever talk with Richard Harris, Justin 5 Meats, or Brandon Pilot about what you had overheard?</p> <p>6 A No.</p> <p>7 Q Did you tell anyone else about it?</p> <p>8 A Yes.</p> <p>9 Q Who did you tell?</p> <p>10 A Kara Hill.</p> <p>11 Q When did you tell --</p> <p>12 A Mande Lawrence. Wait, wait, wait, wait, 13 wait, wait. Yeah, Kara Hill during the investigation, 14 not Mande Lawrence. I didn't speak to Mande Lawrence 15 again after May I think of 2018. So I told Kara Hill 16 about it, and then I also told Eileen, Eileen Bryant, 17 I'm sorry, Kathleen Otto about it in our investigative 18 meeting.</p> <p>19 Q Okay, so this conversation you overheard, 20 you heard a comment from Brandon Pilot in the summer 21 of 2019?</p> <p>22 A No, the comment was from Jeff Kujava.</p> <p>23 Q Sorry, Jeff --</p> <p>24 A Brandon Pilot --</p> <p>25 Q -- Kujava?</p>

Pena, et al. v. Clark County, Washington

Isaiah Hutson

Page 165

1 A Yes.
 2 Q Okay. So was there any other day or meeting
 3 that you had or communication you had with Mande
 4 Lawrence where you relayed to her all of these
 5 specific comments that you've outlined in your
 6 response to interrogatory No. 12?

7 A Was there any other meeting I had with her,
 8 is that what you're asking?

9 Q Yeah.

10 A No.

11 Q So during that meeting in May of 2019, are
 12 you saying that you told Mande Lawrence that Nick
 13 Eisland made a comment about the new Trump wall is
 14 working because we only have one Mexican left to get
 15 rid of?

16 A Yes.

17 Q And you also told Mande Lawrence in May of
 18 2019 that a crew chief in 2017 said the county's going
 19 to shit because they keep hiring all of these beaners
 20 and spics?

21 A Yes.

22 Q Did you tell her that it was Rich Harris who
 23 said that?

24 A Yes.

25 Q Did you tell her anyone else who was there

Page 167

1 Q Okay, so bouncing forward, in the spring of
 2 2020 Kara Hill interviewed you as part of an
 3 investigation; correct?

4 A Yes, yes.

5 Q So are you saying that in April of 2020 when
 6 Kara Hill interviewed you you told her that you heard
 7 a crew chief say it's a good day at the county boys,
 8 Trump is kicking out Mexicans and the cops are killing
 9 niggers in the summer of 2019?

10 A Yes, but that wasn't a crew chief that said
 11 it, it was Jeff Kujava in the crew chief room.

12 Q And Jeff Kujava was not a crew chief?

13 A No.

14 Q He's a coworker?

15 A Yes.

16 Q Or was?

17 A At a different shed.

18 Q At a different shed?

19 A Yeah. He just came into Central for
 20 something, I don't know.

21 Q Is he someone you ever worked with?

22 A Yes.

23 Q Did you work with him regularly?

24 A When I first got here he was in the rural
 25 shed, so when I was at Finn Hill I worked with him a

Page 166

1 who may have heard it?

2 A Yes.

3 Q Who did you tell her, who did you tell Mande
 4 Lawrence was there and heard the beaners and spics
 5 comment from Rich Harris in 2017?

6 A I told her that Gauge Bryant had told me
 7 about it.

8 Q And during this conversation with Mande
 9 Lawrence on May 24th of 2019 did you tell her, tell
 10 Mande that in the summer of 2018, a year earlier, a
 11 crew chief said it's a good day at the county boys,
 12 Trump is kicking out the Mexicans and cops are killing
 13 niggers?

14 A No, I said -- I'm sorry, I think that's a
 15 typo, that happened summer of '19, that hadn't
 16 happened yet.

17 Q That hadn't happened yet at the time that
 18 you spoke with Mande Lawrence in May of 2019?

19 A Yes.

20 Q Had it happened when you spoke with Kara
 21 Hill and Carolyn Heniges and Ahmad during the step 3
 22 grievance meeting in July of 2019?

23 A It was around that time. It was I believe
 24 right before, because I talked with Kara Hill about it
 25 in 2020, so it was -- it was around that time.

Page 168

1 lot more because I was stationed out there.

2 Q Okay, so you told Mande Lawrence in April of
 3 2020 during her interview of you that Jeff Kujava said
 4 about nine months earlier, in the summer of 2018, it's
 5 a good day at the county boys, Trump is kicking out
 6 the Mexicans and cops are killing niggers?

7 A No, no, I must have made that mistake. I
 8 told Kara Hill that in 2020.

9 Q That's what I'm asking, you told Kara Hill
 10 that in 2020 --

11 A Yes, I did not tell Mande --

12 Q -- when she interviewed you?

13 A -- the Trump, that didn't happen yet.

14 Q When you met with Kathleen Otto in August of
 15 2020 did you tell her that an employee named Jeff
 16 Kujava, in the summer of 2019, said it's a good day at
 17 the county boys, Trump is kicking out the Mexicans and
 18 cops are killing niggers?

19 A Yes.

20 Q Did you tell her who else was there who
 21 heard that comment?

22 A Yes.

23 Q Who was there who heard that comment?

24 A It was Brandon Pilot, John May, Richard
 25 Harris, and, I always forget his name, I just said his

Pena, et al. v. Clark County, Washington

Isaiah Hutson

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 169</p> <p>1 name earlier. It's on the record, but -- and Isidoro 2 Flores heard it.</p> <p>3 Q Did you let -- did you tell Kara Hill in 4 April of 2020 during your interview that Isidoro 5 Flores had heard that comment?</p> <p>6 A Yes.</p> <p>7 Q Do you know if she talked to him?</p> <p>8 A There was quite a few people that I asked 9 that were involved in my discrimination complaints 10 that said that they had never been talked to. I don't 11 recall if -- I don't think she talked to Isidoro, I 12 think he's one of them. I'm sorry, I'm not exact on 13 that, but I'm pretty sure that Isidoro said that she 14 had never talked to him. I'm almost positive. You 15 have to ask Isidoro.</p> <p>16 Q Can you turn to Exhibit 17 please? I know 17 I'm not doing these all just right in order. It's 18 another one of the smaller ones.</p> <p>19 A Gosh, I need to keep these in order. So 14, 20 15, the flip trick.</p> <p>21 Q If you flip them over like that -- I know 22 you don't do this everyday. Do you have it?</p> <p>23 A Yes, 17?</p> <p>24 Q Yes. Sorry. Okay, this is a two-page 25 document with the, well, it's three but there's</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 171</p> <p>1 sent.</p> <p>2 Do you remember getting this e-mail from 3 her?</p> <p>4 A Yes.</p> <p>5 Q Okay. Did you, do you know what she was 6 talking about?</p> <p>7 A No.</p> <p>8 Q And she informed you that this meeting she 9 wanted to have with you and Tim was not discipline but 10 could lead to discipline in the future so you're able 11 to bring a union representative with you.</p> <p>12 Did you see that?</p> <p>13 A Yes.</p> <p>14 Q And she had only included Darrell in the 15 invite as he is aware that she was planning on 16 scheduling the meeting, but you can feel free to bring 17 whichever union rep you would like.</p> <p>18 Is that what she told you?</p> <p>19 A Yes.</p> <p>20 Q Did that meeting ever occur?</p> <p>21 A No.</p> <p>22 Q Why not?</p> <p>23 A You'd have to ask Kara.</p> <p>24 Q What date was it that you went out on L&I 25 leave?</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 170</p> <p>1 nothing on the third page. In the bottom there's the 2 numbers CC_00751 and 00752. Do you recognize this 3 e-mail chain? It looks like it's between you and Kara 4 Hill in 2020?</p> <p>5 MR. HOLGUIN-FLORES: I'm sorry, Counsel, my 6 17 is another copy of 14.</p> <p>7 MS. FREEMAN: This is it then, mark that one 8 17.</p> <p>9 MR. HOLGUIN-FLORES: Thank you very much.</p> <p>10 Q (By Ms. Freeman) Do you recognize this 11 e-mail chain?</p> <p>12 A Yes, ma'am.</p> <p>13 Q Let's start at the, because this e-mail, 14 kind of start at the bottom so I just want to run 15 through it. It looks like Kara Hill e-mailed you on 16 January, Monday, January 13th, 2020 copying Tim 17 Waggoner and Darrell Young, and the subject is 18 performance and conduct standards and expectations 19 meeting. Do you see that?</p> <p>20 A Yes.</p> <p>21 Q She said she would like to schedule a 22 meeting with you and Tim this week to discuss 23 performance and conduct standards and expectations 24 concerning some information I received during my 25 employee check-ins and also recent e-mails you have</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 172</p> <p>1 A January 14th.</p> <p>2 Q So the next day after she sent you this 3 e-mail?</p> <p>4 A Must be. It was January 14, 2020.</p> <p>5 Q Was January 14 the day you had the accident 6 that hurt your shoulder?</p> <p>7 A Yeah. It may be 17th, it might be 8 January 17th. It was within that week.</p> <p>9 Q Did you go -- so you said the day you hurt 10 your shoulder you went to the ER?</p> <p>11 A Yes.</p> <p>12 Q So you were out that day, it wasn't like a 13 delayed --</p> <p>14 A Right.</p> <p>15 Q -- you went back to work a few days then you 16 went out?</p> <p>17 A You're correct.</p> <p>18 Q So it looks like you responded to Ms. Hill 19 on that same day, January 13th, this is while you were 20 still working at the county, right, while you're 21 still -- before you went out on leave?</p> <p>22 A I'm sorry, I was reading this.</p> <p>23 Q Yeah, so right up above there, on 24 January 13th you responded to Ms. Hill's request for a 25 meeting? I'm looking at the second --</p>

Pena, et al. v. Clark County, Washington

Isaiah Hutson

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 241</p> <p>1 Q And then did she change her position?</p> <p>2 A Yes.</p> <p>3 Q And how did that position change?</p> <p>4 A I don't recall exactly. I know there was</p> <p>5 some conversation between the union and her, and the</p> <p>6 reality is is that I worked over four hours on it, I</p> <p>7 worked the whole day, and plus that says except for,</p> <p>8 what did you say, I think except for cracked filling?</p> <p>9 Q Assigned only to crack filling, cracked</p> <p>10 sealing, and flagging?</p> <p>11 A Yeah, that's not bridges, so bridges aren't</p> <p>12 exempt, so I don't know how they read that. It was</p> <p>13 just a horrible interpretation, it never excluded</p> <p>14 bridge work.</p> <p>15 Q Okay, but your understanding was after then</p> <p>16 some sort of discussion or negotiation between the</p> <p>17 union and HR and management that you were, they</p> <p>18 decided to award the pay?</p> <p>19 A Yeah, especially after they found out that</p> <p>20 Norm, Norm Marlboro and, what's his name, were paid</p> <p>21 for it. It was kind of like oops. Micah Pasmore.</p> <p>22 Q Is it your -- is it your allegation that</p> <p>23 Ahmad, the public works director, and Les MacDonald</p> <p>24 were also trying to deny this pay as a way to harass</p> <p>25 you because of your race?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 243</p> <p>1 A I hear you.</p> <p>2 Q Are you alleging in this lawsuit that Ahmad</p> <p>3 or Les MacDonald harassed you because of your race?</p> <p>4 A I think they should answer why they did</p> <p>5 that. I mean, maybe.</p> <p>6 Q Do you have any -- what would lead you to</p> <p>7 believe that Les MacDonald or Ahmad were harassing you</p> <p>8 because of your race or made any decisions on contract</p> <p>9 interpretation because of your race?</p> <p>10 A Because I think it's systemic and I think</p> <p>11 that they are allowing it to happen and thereby are</p> <p>12 engaging in systemic racism.</p> <p>13 Q So describe to me what systemic racism means</p> <p>14 to you.</p> <p>15 A Systemic racism means that there's a culture</p> <p>16 of racism. I believe systemic racism, there's some</p> <p>17 that engage in acts of racism and words of racism, and</p> <p>18 others engage in the racism systemically by allowing</p> <p>19 and giving cover to those individuals.</p> <p>20 And I think those individuals have rooted</p> <p>21 racism in their heart and that's why they allow it to</p> <p>22 happen. Because I can't see why anybody that didn't</p> <p>23 have a racist bone in their body would cover for</p> <p>24 individuals like this.</p> <p>25 Q Are you alleging that any Hispanic or Latino</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 242</p> <p>1 A I think I would be speculating. I don't</p> <p>2 know why they did.</p> <p>3 Q You don't know why they did that?</p> <p>4 A I don't know why they -- I mean, it was</p> <p>5 clear, I don't know how they interpreted it that way,</p> <p>6 you just read it and it was very clear that bridges</p> <p>7 was not exempt from the work out of class pay, so I</p> <p>8 guess you'd have to ask them how they got to that</p> <p>9 interpretation.</p> <p>10 Q Okay.</p> <p>11 A But I am not claiming that Ahmad or Les</p> <p>12 MacDonald --</p> <p>13 Q Les?</p> <p>14 A I don't know. I don't know what their</p> <p>15 intention was. I know -- I believe what Tim</p> <p>16 Waggoner's intention was in denying us and then</p> <p>17 allowing Micah Pasmore and Norm Marlboro to be paid</p> <p>18 for it as far as why they agreed with them, and it</p> <p>19 doesn't seem like they looked into it too much because</p> <p>20 they didn't know that Norm Marlboro and Micah Pasmore</p> <p>21 were paid for it, so --</p> <p>22 Q I'm trying to get an understanding of the</p> <p>23 allegations you're making in this complaint and sort</p> <p>24 of, you know, where they start, where they stop, and</p> <p>25 where they go.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 244</p> <p>1 employees at the county engaged in racism or racial</p> <p>2 harassment towards you?</p> <p>3 A Can you reword that, or can you word that</p> <p>4 again? I didn't --</p> <p>5 Q Sure.</p> <p>6 A Are you asking if I'm alleging that</p> <p>7 Hispanics have engaged in racism towards me?</p> <p>8 Q Yeah.</p> <p>9 A No, I'm not alleging that.</p> <p>10 Q Have any other Hispanic or Latino coworkers</p> <p>11 besides the other two plaintiffs in this case, Elias</p> <p>12 Peña and Ray Alanis, told you that they felt they were</p> <p>13 harassed or discriminated against because of their</p> <p>14 race?</p> <p>15 A Isidoro Flores has told me that, and John</p> <p>16 Flores has filed complaints against crew chiefs for</p> <p>17 discrimination while I was working at the county. He</p> <p>18 was at the sign shop, John Flores.</p> <p>19 Q And which crew chief did he file a</p> <p>20 complaint --</p> <p>21 A I don't know if --</p> <p>22 Q -- against?</p> <p>23 A I don't know if -- I'm sorry, I did it</p> <p>24 again, that's four, I was trying to stay at three.</p> <p>25 He -- I know for sure of one and his is</p>

Pena, et al. v. Clark County, Washington

Isaiah Hutson

<p>Page 245</p> <p>1 elude -- Russ Bartowski. I don't know if he included 2 others in his complaint of discrimination, but I know 3 for sure that he filed a discrimination complaint. 4 Q How long ago was that? 5 A 2018. I'm guessing. I -- 6 Q Does John Flores still work for the county? 7 A No, he has since left. 8 Q Is Mr. Bartowski still at the county? 9 A He retired. 10 Q What is your understanding of why John 11 Flores filed a complaint of race discrimination 12 against Russ Bartowski? 13 A He said he -- I heard very little from him 14 about it, but he was saying that he was being treated 15 differently than his white counterparts, he was the 16 only Mexican on the crew and Russ Bartowski would yell 17 and scream at him and treat him differently than other 18 situated people on the crew, and that was the jiff of 19 it. And I was like huh, that's kind of interesting, 20 that's what I'm going through. 21 Q And then Mr. Flores, Gelo did you say? 22 A Yes. 23 Q Shared with you that he felt he was 24 discriminated against or harassed because of his race? 25 A Yes.</p>	<p>1 Q Do you know of anyone in the roads division 2 who has been disciplined or terminated for any 3 racially related conduct? 4 A No. 5 Q In your position as a roads maintenance 6 specialist have you ever supervised anyone? 7 A I've filled in for crew chief, but I 8 never -- superintendent would be a supervisor. 9 Q Okay. 10 A I don't know, a crew chief, I mean, I guess 11 technically I was a crew chief, so you line out work 12 and -- 13 Q So a crew chief is just the line levels 14 or -- I'm trying to think of another term for it, but 15 yeah, you just line out, like you said line out tasks 16 during the day, who's going to be working on which 17 machinery and whatnot? 18 A No, I think they're a supervisory role 19 because when I got hired on I was told that you take 20 any issue you have to the crew chief, and that's the 21 chain of command, and they -- they are the ones. 22 And that's who I went to at the very 23 beginning, Jeff Tuttle, and did exactly what Carl Oman 24 told me to do and Mike Shaw (phonetic), the operations 25 manager, when they interviewed me.</p>
<p>Page 246</p> <p>1 Q What did he tell you about that? 2 A He told me that a crew chief was moved 3 because there was some discrimination going, that he 4 felt discrimination towards him, and a crew chief told 5 him in the morning in front of the crew that he was 6 gonna kill him and his whole family if he said 7 anything. 8 Q Which crew chief was that? 9 A He's not a crew chief now, they moved him. 10 The name's eluding me right now, it'll probably pop up 11 here in a minute. 12 Q Is it someone who still works in the roads 13 division? 14 A I don't think he's considered roads 15 division, he might be clean water. He still did work 16 in the roads division after that, and then I think he 17 quit the county and then went to Battle Ground city, 18 and then I think he came back to the county in the 19 water division. 20 Q And did Gelo report that to somebody? 21 A Well, yeah, the guy was moved. 22 Q Who did Gelo report that incident to? 23 A You'd have to talk to him. 24 Q So you don't know? 25 A I don't know.</p>	<p>1 Q And then when I got hired they instructed 2 me, chain of command, so I saw crew chiefs as 3 supervisory role, and then superintendents are 4 superintendents. 5 Q And you understand a superintendent like Tim 6 Waggoner and now Nick Eisland are your supervisors? 7 A They're more superintendent. My supervisor 8 is Chad Weiker, he's alone there, I come in, he 9 reports if I'm late. He can talk to you about 10 disciplinary issues, if he sees you doing something 11 and -- 12 Q He can't actually issue discipline to you, 13 you know that; right? 14 A I didn't say he issues, he can talk to you 15 about discipline, like if you're late again, he's in 16 that chain of command so -- 17 Q He can report -- 18 A -- I'm just telling you how I see it. 19 Q He can report if you're late to the 20 superintendent; correct? 21 A I don't know -- I don't know how much 22 authority he has. 23 Q You are well aware that a crew chief does 24 not have authority to issue discipline to you are you 25 not? As a long-term member of the, of the union, and</p>

EXHIBIT C

Deposition of Elias C. Pena

Pena, et al. v. Clark County, Washington

July 26, 2022



206.287.9066 | 800.846.6989

1325 Fourth Avenue, Suite 1840, Seattle, Washington 98101

www.buellrealtime.com

email: info@buellrealtime.com



Pena, et al. v. Clark County, Washington

Elias C. Pena

Page 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE

ELIAS PENA, ISAIAH HUTSON,)
and RAY ALANIS,)
Plaintiffs,)
v.)No. 3:21-cv-05411-DGE
CLARK COUNTY, WASHINGTON,)
Defendant.)

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF
ELIAS C. PEÑA
Taken in behalf of Defendant

* * *
July 26, 2022
1300 Franklin Street
Vancouver, Washington

Priscilla (Pia) Harris, CCR
Court Reporter

1	INDEX TO EXHIBITS
2	21 Interrogatories/RFP's.....4
3	22 Charge of Discrimination.....4
4	23 Official Grievance Form.....4
5	24 E-mail Re Unrestricted CDL Meeting Follow Up....4
6	25 E-mail Re COVID-19.....4
7	26 E-mail Re Harassment/Discrimination.....4
8	27 E-mail Re Discrimination/Retaliation Complaint..4
9	28 E-mail Re Harassment Appeal/Hearsay.....4
10	29 E-mail Re Discrimination/Retaliation Complaint..4
11	30 E-mail Re Meeting Forward Notification Discussion.....4
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Page 2

1 APPEARANCES:

2 For the Plaintiff:
3 MALDEF
4 By: Andres Holguin-Flores
Luis L. Lozada (Via Zoom)
11th Floor
5 634 S. Spring Street
Los Angeles, CA 90014
(213)629-2512
6 aholguin-flores@maldef.org
7 lllozada@maldef.org

8 For the Defendant:

9 KEATING, BUCKLIN & MCCORMACK
10 By: Jayne L. Freeman
Suite 1210
11 801 2nd Avenue
Seattle, WA 98104
(206)623-8861
12 jfreeman@kbmlawyers.com

13 Also Present:
14 KATHLEEN OTTO (Via Zoom)
15 DIEGO HARO (Via Zoom)
16 LETICIA SAUCEDO (Via Zoom)
17 GABRIELA MACPHERSON (Via Zoom)
18 LESLIE LOPEZ (Via Zoom)
AMANDA MIGCHELBRING (Via Zoom)
NICOLE CARNES (Via Zoom)
STEPHANIE SPEAR, Videographer

20 INDEX

21 EXAMINATION BY: PAGE NO.
22 MS. FREEMAN.....4
23 MR. HOLGUIN-FLORES.....301

24 Page 4
1 VANCOUVER, WASHINGTON; TUESDAY, JULY 26, 2022
2 9:21 A.M.
3 * * *
4 ELIAS C. PEÑA
5 called as a witness on behalf of the Defendants,
6 having first been sworn by the Notary,
7 testifies as follows:
8
9 (Deposition Exhibits 21-30 were marked for
10 identification.)
11 EXAMINATION
12 VIDEOGRAPHER: Here begins the
13 video-recorded deposition of Elias Peña in the matter
of Peña, et al versus Clark County, et al. Today is
14 July 26, 2022 and the time is 9:21. Would attorneys
15 please state their appearances for the record.
16 MR. HOLGUIN-FLORES: Andres Holguin-Flores
17 on behalf of Elias Peña from the law firm of the
18 Mexican American Legal Defense and Educational Fund.
19 MS. FREEMAN: Jayne Freeman, special
20 assistant prosecuting attorney from Keating, Bucklin &
21 McCormack on behalf of defendant Clark County.
22 VIDEOGRAPHER: Will the court reporter
23 please swear in the witness.
24 (Oath was administered.)

1 (Pages 1 to 4)

Pena, et al. v. Clark County, Washington

Elias C. Pena

Page 305

1 REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2 STATE OF WASHINGTON)
3) ss.
4 COUNTY OF CLARK)

5 I, PRISCILLA (PIA) HARRIS, a Certified
6 Shorthand Reporter for Washington, do hereby
7 certify that, pursuant to stipulation of counsel for
8 the respective parties hereinbefore set forth,
9 ELIAS C. PEÑA, personally appeared before me at the
10 time and place set forth in the caption hereof; that
11 at said time and place I reported in Stenotype all
12 testimony adduced and other oral proceedings had in
13 the foregoing matter; that thereafter my notes were
14 reduced to typewriting under my direction; and that
15 the foregoing transcript, pages 1 to 304, both
16 inclusive, constitutes a full, true and accurate
17 record of all such testimony adduced and oral
18 proceedings had, and of the whole thereof.

19 WITNESS my hand and CSR stamp at Vancouver,
20 Washington, this 18th day of August 2022.

23 _____
24 PRISCILLA (PIA) HARRIS
25 Certified Court Reporter
 OR CCR No. 01-0377
 WA CCR No. 2963

Pena, et al. v. Clark County, Washington

Elias C. Pena

Page 41

1 A Best of my knowledge I believe I applied
 2 before I actually temped again.
 3 **Q Okay. Did you have to test again?**
 4 A Yes.
 5 **Q And how did that testing go?**
 6 A I passed.
 7 **Q Do you recall -- and then did you interview**
 8 **with anyone when you applied?**
 9 A Yes.
 10 **Q Were you hired that time?**
 11 A Yes.
 12 **Q Into what position?**
 13 A Maintenance 1. Finn Hill shed originally.
 14 **Q And who hired you?**
 15 A I don't recall.
 16 **Q Do you recall who interviewed you?**
 17 A It was a panel interview, I remember Carl
 18 Oman, Kenny Price, I believe Sheila was in there as
 19 well, and I don't recall who else was in there. I
 20 know it was a panel interview.
 21 **Q Was it your understanding that those**
 22 **panelists recommended that the county hire you?**
 23 A Yes.
 24 **Q And you don't remember who actually made the**
 25 **decision, or do you know who made the decision to**

Page 43

1 **Q What kind of interactions do you have with**
 2 **Carl?**
 3 A Just brief ones, just like, you know, chip
 4 seal, what we're into now, just he'll come out, do a
 5 meeting, talk to everybody about what their, tasks
 6 they're doing.
 7 **Q And are those meetings that Carl Oman, where**
 8 **you attend and Carl Oman is talking to people about**
 9 **what tasks they're doing, are those meetings with**
 10 **multiple crews together at the same time?**
 11 A Yes.
 12 **Q Are those meetings that Tim Waggoner would**
 13 **attend also as a superintendent?**
 14 A Sometimes.
 15 **Q So are these like morning meetings that you**
 16 **have everyday at the beginning of shift?**
 17 A No.
 18 **Q What kind of meetings are they?**
 19 A Just for projects like we've got going on
 20 now.
 21 **Q So sometimes those meetings are run by Tim**
 22 **Waggoner, sometimes by Carl Oman, are they sometimes**
 23 **run by Nick Eisland too?**
 24 A So Nick came in later on.
 25 **Q Came in as a superintendent later on?**

Page 42

1 **approve hiring you?**
 2 A I know who called me and told me I got the
 3 job.
 4 **Q Who was that?**
 5 A Carl Oman.
 6 **Q Was he a superintendent at the time?**
 7 A Yes, he's been a superintendent since I've
 8 been here.
 9 **Q He's still a superintendent?**
 10 A Yes.
 11 **Q When you first worked at Finn Hill did you**
 12 **report up to Carl Oman?**
 13 A Yes.
 14 **Q As your superintendent?**
 15 A Yes.
 16 **Q Are you alleging in this case that Carl Oman**
 17 **harassed you because of your race?**
 18 A No.
 19 **Q Are you alleging that Carl Oman ever**
 20 **discriminated against you or treated you disparately**
 21 **or differently because of your race?**
 22 A No, I was under Carl only for two weeks.
 23 **Q Have you had any interactions with Carl**
 24 **since then?**
 25 A Yes.

Page 44

1 A Yes.
 2 **Q Who is currently your superintendent?**
 3 A Nick Eisland.
 4 **Q How long has he been your superintendent?**
 5 A The last two years, maybe a little bit
 6 longer.
 7 **Q So we're in July of 2022 right now, so since**
 8 **about July of 2020 or longer than that?**
 9 A Probably a little bit longer, I'm not
 10 entirely sure. My best guess would be, I don't know.
 11 **Q Did he take the place of a different**
 12 **superintendent?**
 13 A Yes.
 14 **Q Who did he replace?**
 15 A Kenny Price.
 16 **Q So was Kenny Price your superintendent for a**
 17 **time?**
 18 A No. Oh, for a time?
 19 **Q Yes.**
 20 A So a lot of stuff happened there. Kenny
 21 Price was, then he got removed, then he got back to my
 22 superintendent, then he got removed, there was Tim and
 23 Kenny, Tim and Kenny.
 24 **Q Okay. So --**
 25 A Yeah.

11 (Pages 41 to 44)

Pena, et al. v. Clark County, Washington

Elias C. Pena

Page 85

1 A Correct.
 2 Q **And what comments were made at that time?**
 3 A Nick Eisland made a comment that they're
 4 building a wall around Finn Hill, kicking the Mexicans
 5 out.
 6 Q **And did you hear him make that comment?**
 7 A Yes.
 8 Q **Where were you when you hear Nick**
 9 **Eisland make that comment?**
 10 A In the crew room in Finn Hill shed.
 11 Q **Who else was there?**
 12 A Me, Isaiah Hutson, Julio Morales, John
 13 Mulanote, Leif William.
 14 Q **John who, Mula?**
 15 A Mulanote.
 16 Q **Julio Morales, John Mulanote, Leif Williams,**
 17 **Isaiah did you say?**
 18 A Yes. And then Nick Eisland.
 19 Q **Were you all having a conversation together?**
 20 A We were in the room when he made the
 21 conversation, yeah.
 22 Q **Were you all talking together or were you in**
 23 **the same room talking together?**
 24 A We weren't. They were in the same room but
 25 we were having different conversations.

Page 86

1 Q **So you were not part of the conversation**
 2 **with Nick Eisland?**
 3 A No, I was in the room with Nick Eisland.
 4 Q **Eisland, okay.**
 5 A But I was talking to Isaiah about something
 6 because he was moving to town, and I'm like hey, I got
 7 told I'm gonna move to Mabry crew too. And then Nick
 8 made the comment, yeah, we're building a wall around
 9 Finn Hill and kicking the Mexicans out.
 10 Q **Did you understand that comment to be in**
 11 **response to the conversation you and Isaiah were**
 12 **having about the two of you moving to Mabry?**
 13 A Yes. Well, I was moving to Mabry, I don't
 14 know where he was moving to, he was telling me he's
 15 moving to town.
 16 Q **So Nick Eisland said that to you?**
 17 A Yes, he said it just blatantly outright.
 18 Q **Did you say anything in response?**
 19 A No.
 20 Q **Did anyone else saying any?**
 21 A No, but John Mulanote pulled me aside, after
 22 we walked outside, pulled me off to the side and said
 23 hey, I could tell it upsets you, but you're new here
 24 and they'll get rid of you before they get rid of him,
 25 so I wouldn't make any waves.

Page 87

1 Q **What was John Mulanote's position at the**
 2 **time?**
 3 A He was a 10-yard driver.
 4 Q **Was he on your crew?**
 5 A He was on Finn Hill's crew, yeah, we were on
 6 the crew together when I got hired on.
 7 Q **Was he a highway maintenance worker or a**
 8 **specialist?**
 9 A He was a specialist.
 10 Q **Does John Mulanote still work at the county?**
 11 A He retired.
 12 Q **Has he ever served as your supervisor?**
 13 A No.
 14 Q **Did you ever talk to anyone else about the**
 15 **comment that you heard from Nick Eisland in 2017 at**
 16 **that time?**
 17 A At the time, no.
 18 Q **Have you ever shared that comment with**
 19 **any -- that Nick Eisland made in 2017 at the Finn Hill**
 20 **shed with anyone?**
 21 A Yeah, I shared it with Kara when she, we did
 22 our thing.
 23 Q **And when you say you did your thing with**
 24 **Kara, is that that meeting in April of 2020 when she**
 25 **interviewed you?**

Page 88

1 A Yes.
 2 Q **And what did you tell Kara at that time**
 3 **about Nick Eisland?**
 4 A I told her the same thing I said here today,
 5 that Nick Eisland made a comment about building a wall
 6 around Finn Hill, kicking the Mexicans out.
 7 Q **Is that the first time you ever shared that**
 8 **story with anyone in human resources?**
 9 A Yes.
 10 Q **Had you -- was that the -- had you ever**
 11 **shared that story about what Nick Eisland on 2017 with**
 12 **any supervisors?**
 13 A I believe Isaiah did that for me, on my
 14 behalf, as well as himself. I don't know if he -- I
 15 know he wrote a letter concerning stuff. I believe, I
 16 don't -- I'm not entirely sure.
 17 Q **So you're not sure but you believe Isaiah**
 18 **may have written a letter to someone at the county on**
 19 **your behalf that described Nick Eisland saying that**
 20 **they built a wall around Finn Hill and are kicking the**
 21 **Mexicans out back in 2017?**
 22 A Yes. I know he made complaints, and I know
 23 he was making them on my behalf as well as his own.
 24 Q **Do you know when he made complaints?**
 25 A I don't know.

22 (Pages 85 to 88)

Pena, et al. v. Clark County, Washington

Elias C. Pena

Page 165	Page 167
<p>1 Q When was that?</p> <p>2 A It was summertime, I know that much. He was 3 getting water and I was sitting at my desk where my 4 desk sits at the time in Central. Here's the water, 5 here's my desk, and he looked over and he says I'm 6 working on getting rid of these cancers.</p> <p>7 Q Was anyone else there?</p> <p>8 A I don't know who else was in the room.</p> <p>9 Q Did you know what he was talking about?</p> <p>10 A My best guess would be me.</p> <p>11 Q What lead you to believe he was talking 12 about you?</p> <p>13 A Because when you're staring at an individual 14 and you're saying that kind of quote it means, or not 15 quote, but saying that statement and they're looking 16 directly at you when they say it, I mean, I don't know 17 who it was for.</p> <p>18 Q Was he saying it, I'm just trying to 19 understand the context, was he saying -- making this 20 comment to somebody else but looking at you as if it 21 was about you?</p> <p>22 A He looked like he was making the comment 23 directly to me.</p> <p>24 Q To you?</p> <p>25 A At me.</p>	<p>1 MR. HOLGUIN-FLORES: That is a user name.</p> <p>2 MS. FREEMAN: Sorry, can you tell me the 3 statute that you're referring to again?</p> <p>4 MR. HOLGUIN-FLORES: Yes, RCW 49.44.200.</p> <p>5 MS. FREEMAN: Is that in reference to 6 employers asking for social media address log-ins, 7 user names in terms of during your employment?</p> <p>8 MR. HOLGUIN-FLORES: Yes.</p> <p>9 MS. FREEMAN: So that would not apply to 10 litigation, that is an employment context and this is 11 not in the employment context, this is in litigation 12 and I'm conducting discovery, so let me know if 13 there's anything that you find that would apply that 14 to discovery.</p> <p>15 MR. HOLGUIN-FLORES: Okay.</p> <p>16 MS. FREEMAN: I can just clarify now, Clark 17 County as the employer isn't asking for that, I am 18 asking for it in the course of conducting discovery in 19 this lawsuit.</p> <p>20 Okay, we can go off now, thanks.</p> <p>21 MR. HOLGUIN-FLORES: Thank you.</p> <p>22 VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 12:57 and we are 23 off the record.</p> <p>24 (Lunch recess was taken.)</p> <p>25 VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is 1:51 and we are</p>
Page 166	Page 168
<p>1 Q And did he say anything else?</p> <p>2 A No.</p> <p>3 Q Was John May your crew chief?</p> <p>4 A No.</p> <p>5 Q What position was he in?</p> <p>6 A He was a crew chief, but not mine.</p> <p>7 Q Okay. Did John May ever take any particular 8 action against you while you were working at the 9 county?</p> <p>10 A No.</p> <p>11 MS. FREEMAN: It sounds like it's a good 12 time to take a lunch break, so let's do that.</p> <p>13 MR. HOLGUIN-FLORES: Before we do I just 14 want to clarify my previous objection regarding social 15 media. Under RCW 49.44.200, even log-in and user 16 names are under the protected information, so raising 17 a belated objection.</p> <p>18 MS. FREEMAN: Again, I did not ask for 19 log-in or user names, I just asked for the address, 20 like the public address, so I don't think there's 21 anything private about that.</p> <p>22 MR. HOLGUIN-FLORES: I think the user name 23 includes the name that is available on the public 24 Website.</p> <p>25 MS. FREEMAN: Not usually but --</p>	<p>1 on the record.</p> <p>2 Q (By Ms. Freeman) Okay, Mr. Peña, we've had 3 a lunch break. Are you ready to proceed?</p> <p>4 A Yes.</p> <p>5 Q Earlier in your testimony you said that you 6 had taken a couple of photos at work, we discussed a 7 photo that you took of a hat, but you also said you 8 took a photo of Eddie's name crossed out on a sign-up 9 sheet; is that right?</p> <p>10 A Correct.</p> <p>11 Q I think, could you find Exhibit 12 in your 12 pile, I just want to ask you if this is the photo that 13 you're referring to.</p> <p>14 A I don't know if I took this one or Isaiah 15 took this one, but it's similar.</p> <p>16 Q Is this -- okay, so you did take a photo of 17 the sign-up sheet?</p> <p>18 A Yes.</p> <p>19 Q But you're not sure if this is the one that 20 you took?</p> <p>21 A Right, what you have presented in front of 22 me.</p> <p>23 Q What did you do with the photo when you took 24 it?</p> <p>25 A I believe I sent it to my attorneys.</p>

Pena, et al. v. Clark County, Washington

Elias C. Pena

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 169</p> <p>1 Q What prompted you to take a picture of the 2 sign-up sheet?</p> <p>3 A You have a Hispanic's name crossed out with 4 Donald Trump below it.</p> <p>5 Q Do you know who crossed out Eddie Perez's 6 name?</p> <p>7 A I do not.</p> <p>8 Q Did you ever ask Mr. Perez if he crossed out 9 his own name?</p> <p>10 A I did not.</p> <p>11 Q Is it your assumption that somebody else 12 crossed out Eddie's name?</p> <p>13 A Yes.</p> <p>14 Q But you never asked him?</p> <p>15 A No.</p> <p>16 Q Is there anything else that lead you to 17 believe that somebody else crossed out Eddie's name?</p> <p>18 A Sorry, my brain is completely off.</p> <p>19 Q I want to make sure your brain is in the 20 right place.</p> <p>21 A Can you reask that, sorry, I totally blanked 22 out when you said that.</p> <p>23 Q That's okay. You said you didn't ask Eddie 24 if he crossed out his own name, do you have any other 25 information that leads you to believe that someone</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 171</p> <p>1 A The nature of it being out there like that 2 and no repercussions or not even an e-mail about it, I 3 feel it is like a message.</p> <p>4 Q Okay. So you took a photo of this when you 5 saw it, it looks like this was, has a date of 6 February 2021 on it; is that right?</p> <p>7 A Uh-huh.</p> <p>8 Q So is that around the time you took the 9 photo?</p> <p>10 A Around, yes, it's only up for so many days, 11 so I --</p> <p>12 Q And you gave it to your attorneys, did you 13 give a copy of the photos to anyone else?</p> <p>14 A I shouldn't have to, this goes to the 15 superintendents.</p> <p>16 Q What goes to the superintendents?</p> <p>17 A This piece of paper does.</p> <p>18 Q My question was did you give a, did you give 19 a copy of the photo that you took to anyone at the 20 county?</p> <p>21 A No.</p> <p>22 Q Did you tell anyone at the county that you 23 were offended by seeing the name Donald Trump written 24 on there?</p> <p>25 A It's a political statement, due to our</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 170</p> <p>1 else crossed out Eddie's name?</p> <p>2 A No.</p> <p>3 Q You're just assuming that?</p> <p>4 A Yes.</p> <p>5 Q Why is that?</p> <p>6 A Because it was in the common break room 7 where a lot of the racial slurs were being said.</p> <p>8 Q And seeing the name Donald J. Trump written 9 on this piece of paper, was that, do you consider that 10 a racial slur towards you?</p> <p>11 A Well, doing that -- the policies he was 12 preaching about Mexicans and stuff, yes, and there's, 13 once again, I believe, in our policies zero tolerance 14 for political stuff.</p> <p>15 Q And did you consider this to be something 16 political by someone writing the name Donald Trump on 17 this piece of paper?</p> <p>18 A Yes. And you said political, correct?</p> <p>19 Q Pardon me?</p> <p>20 A You said political?</p> <p>21 Q Yes.</p> <p>22 A Yes.</p> <p>23 Q Did you consider this, this sign-up sheet 24 where someone wrote the name Donald Trump on it to be 25 threatening to you?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 172</p> <p>1 policy our supervisor should have stepped in and said 2 something.</p> <p>3 Q My question was did you talk to anybody 4 about it?</p> <p>5 A No.</p> <p>6 Q Which supervisor do you think should have 7 stepped in and done something about the sign-up sheet?</p> <p>8 A It went to all three, or two. It says right 9 there, Carl and Tim, as well as Sheila, somebody 10 should have approached it right way.</p> <p>11 Q Do you know, was the sheet -- do you know 12 where this one was posted, was this posted at the 13 Central location?</p> <p>14 A Yes.</p> <p>15 Q Do you know who took it down?</p> <p>16 A Superintendent usually takes it down.</p> <p>17 Q I'm asking if you know who took this 18 particular one down.</p> <p>19 A My best assumption and guess would be a 20 superintendent like they always do in the past.</p> <p>21 Q My question was do you know who took it 22 down?</p> <p>23 A My best guess would be a superintendent.</p> <p>24 Q So you don't -- and, again, I understand 25 what a guess is, I'm asking if you actually know who</p>

Pena, et al. v. Clark County, Washington

Elias C. Pena

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 173</p> <p>1 took this particular sheet down that had the words 2 Donald Trump on it?</p> <p>3 A No. 4 Q And you did not take it down?</p> <p>5 A No. 6 Q What policy is it that you're referring to 7 that you are saying that would be violated by the word 8 Donald Trump being written on this list?</p> <p>9 A There's a policy that he sent out recently 10 about it, it's actually an FYI, a policy update about 11 this again.</p> <p>12 Q Are that they often sent out during election 13 times?</p> <p>14 A I'm unclear, I don't know.</p> <p>15 Q Do you have an understanding of what the 16 policy provides?</p> <p>17 A I do know as we get hired in as well as from 18 what I read last time it was, by seeing it it's zero 19 political, even with the signs in the roadway, if you 20 take one out, replace it back in, if you're mowing 21 around it, because you can't have any favoritism 22 towards any political party.</p> <p>23 Q Meaning that if you're out mowing and there 24 are political signs posted by someone in the public 25 that you need to replace those signs, you can't choose</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 175</p> <p>1 threatening -- 2 Q That's what I'm trying to understand, so is 3 what was offensive to you the fact that any person's 4 name is crossed out and the word Donald Trump is 5 written in below, would this strike you as the same if 6 Eddie Perez's name was not on there but it just said 7 Donald Trump?</p> <p>8 A Yes. 9 Q And why is that? 10 A Because his political stance against 11 Hispanic. 12 Q And so this would not be offensive to you if 13 someone had written in the word Joe Biden or Hillary 14 Clinton or somebody else?</p> <p>15 A It wouldn't have mattered, it's still 16 inappropriate.</p> <p>17 Q But would it still feel threatening to you? 18 A They weren't attacking Hispanics. 19 Q So you said you didn't know whether you took 20 this picture that's Exhibit 12 or not, but whatever 21 picture you took you did provide it to your attorneys?</p> <p>22 A Correct. 23 Q And you also said that you took a picture of 24 something at John May's workspace, you described it as 25 a hangman at his desk?</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 174</p> <p>1 to take them down and -- 2 A I can't. 3 Q -- and throw them away? 4 A Yes. I gotta work around them. 5 Q Did you consider that to be part of a 6 racially hostile work environment if you had to work 7 around political signs that were placed out in the 8 public? 9 A Out in the public, no, this is a workplace 10 where it's zero tolerance, public is different. 11 Q I'm just asking because you brought up the 12 mowing around the signs when you were talking about 13 the policy, so I wasn't sure what the connection was. 14 A I was just saying like this is not supposed 15 to be, the entire is not supposed to be at our sheds 16 in a county building, but it's out in the road, that's 17 all I was just saying, like we have to bypass their 18 signs and make sure that we don't show any political 19 favorite, favoritism, that's what I'm getting at. 20 Q Did you understand that someone writing the 21 name Donald Trump in February 2021 was a political 22 endorsement or political advertisement? 23 A I understand as you crossed out a Hispanic's 24 name, you put somebody who's been talking about 25 Hispanics in the news over and over and over as a</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 176</p> <p>1 A Yeah, it's like a linchpin. 2 Q When did you take a photo of whatever's on 3 John May's desk? 4 A When I was walking through and I seen it. 5 Q When was that? 6 A Could have been the summertime of 2020. 7 Q So it could have been two years ago? 8 A Sorry, 2021. No. 9 Q So it could've been a year ago? 10 A It's been about a year ago. 11 Q Okay. Is the thing you took a picture of 12 still there on John May's desk? 13 A Yes, it is. 14 Q Did you tell John May or anyone else at the 15 county that -- why did you take the photograph? 16 A Because it's a guy hanging. 17 Q Do you know where it came from? 18 A No. 19 Q Did you know why it's there? 20 A No, you'd have to ask John. 21 Q And so because there's a guy hanging what 22 prompted you to take a photo of it? 23 A Because John made comments about how he's 24 gonna cut cancers out and you have a hangman in your 25 office like that or above your desk, kind of a little</p>

Pena, et al. v. Clark County, Washington

Elias C. Pena

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 261</p> <p>1 had, ever, conversation with her, but she was my 2 operations manager and Kara Hill was her boss, so I 3 guess I didn't really deal with Heniges. 4 Q It was your understanding that Kara Hill was 5 Carolyn Heniges's boss at some point? 6 A Yeah. Well, she was the HR rep, so I was 7 dealing with HR. 8 Q Is it your understanding that an HR rep is 9 the supervisor over the operational folks in the roads 10 division like the operations manager and the public 11 works director? 12 A Yes. 13 Q Any experience you had with Carolyn Heniges 14 that lead you to believe that she was discriminating 15 against you because of your race? 16 A No. 17 Q What about Ahmad, is it Qayoumi? Sorry, I'm 18 bad at pronouncing his name. 19 A I don't know how to pronounce it either. 20 Q Ahmad used to be the public works director; 21 is that right? 22 A Correct. 23 Q And he was the public works director in 2019 24 when you had that meeting in, over your grievance? 25 A Correct.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 263</p> <p>1 Q And it's regarding an investigation 2 conclusion memo. Is this the memo that Ms. Hill sent 3 to you advising you that she had finished her 4 investigation regarding this complaint that you 5 referenced in your e-mail in March? 6 A Yeah. 7 Q In this memo she told you that you provided 8 Clark County human resources with a complaint that you 9 received continued harassing behavior from Tim 10 Waggoner, the roads operations superintendent. Is 11 that the complaint that you had made that you 12 understood Kara was investigating? 13 A Yes. 14 Q And Ms. Hill told you that she did not find 15 a violation of county HR policies regarding 16 interactions with the staff? 17 A Yes. 18 Q And that her investigation was concluded; is 19 that right? 20 A Yes. 21 Q Did she tell you in this memo that you're 22 encourage to report conduct that could violate the 23 policies? It's in that third paragraph she said, you 24 are encouraged to report conduct that could violate 25 our policies; is that right?</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 262</p> <p>1 Q Is that right? Okay. Are you alleging in 2 this case that Ahmad, as public works director, ever 3 harassed you because of your race? 4 A No. 5 Q Are you alleging that Ahmad ever 6 discriminated against you or treated you differently 7 because of your race? 8 A He never brought the concerns forward so I 9 don't know if I'll label that as how you should label 10 that, so there was concerns I brought to him but never 11 got brought forward or handled so... 12 Q Do you know why? 13 A You have to ask Ahmad. 14 Q Did you ever ask Ahmad? 15 A No. 16 Q Did you ever ask Ahmad if he shared any 17 information with anyone else that you had told him 18 about? 19 A No. 20 Q Can you find Exhibit 11 please? 21 A That's it. 22 Q This looks like it's a memo from Kara Hill, 23 senior HR representative to you dated June 18, 2020. 24 Do you recognize this? 25 A Yeah.</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 264</p> <p>1 A Yes. 2 Q At any point when you met with Kara Hill in 3 April of 2020 did you provide her any documents or 4 notes or e-mails or anything like that? 5 A No, like I said, the way she was very 6 dismissive, brought my notebook in because I knew 7 about it then, and I just refound it. 8 Q You had brought your notebook into her? 9 A Of all the days. 10 Q During that meeting? 11 A Yes, I would've. 12 Q Wait, you're saying you would've brought the 13 notebook in that you just found in your lunch box this 14 year? 15 A I knew about it then, I had it then. 16 Q But you did not bring it in with you? 17 A No. 18 Q So I understand I think your description 19 that you felt that Kara Hill was dismissive of you 20 during your meeting in April. Were you prepared for 21 her to be dismissive of you and not to listen to you 22 before you ever went into the meeting? 23 A No. 24 Q So why wouldn't you bring the notebook with 25 you?</p>

EXHIBIT D

1 The Honorable David G. Estudillo
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

ELIAS PEÑA, ISAIAH HUTSON, and
RAY ALANIS,

Plaintiffs,

v.

CLARK COUNTY, WASHINGTON,

Defendant.

Case No.: 3:21-CV-05411-DGE

PLAINTIFF RAY ALANIS'S RESPONSES TO
DEFENDANT'S FIRST INTERROGATORIES
AND REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION

JUDGE: Hon. Judge David G. Estudillo

TO: Defendant Clark County, Washington

Jayne L. Freeman, Audrey M. Airut Murphy

KEATING BUCKLIN & MCCORMACK, INC., P.S.

Attorneys for Defendant

1 proportional to the needs of the case. Plaintiff further objects to this request on the basis that it
 2 calls for information protected by the attorney-client privilege and attorney work-product
 3 doctrine. Plaintiff objects that this question seeks disclosure of witnesses and information
 4 collected by interviews, and therefore violates the attorney work product exemption and seeks
 5 information protected by attorney-client privilege.

6 Without waiving these objections, particularly because Plaintiffs are still discovering
 7 relevant information, Plaintiff responds that his allegations are in his Complaint, paragraphs 1 to
 8 82, and his Answer to Interrogatory No. 12. Plaintiff's may recover wage-related damages,
 9 including back pay for lost promotions or additional pay opportunities that would have garnered
 10 Plaintiff wages into the future.

11 **REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 12.:** Please produce true and correct copies of
 12 any documents related to or supporting your response to the preceding Interrogatory.

13 **RESPONSE:**

14 Plaintiff asserts all the General Objections listed above. In particular, Plaintiff objects
 15 to the Request to the extent that it seeks information which is privileged under attorney-client
 16 privilege and/or protected from disclosure under the work-product doctrine, including draft
 17 reports. Plaintiff also objects to the extent Defendant seeks documents or information that is
 18 readily or more accessible to Defendant from Defendant's own files, from documents or
 19 information in Defendant's possession, or from documents or information that Defendant
 20 previously produced to Plaintiff, and which Plaintiff is therefore not under the obligation to
 21 produce.

22 Subject to, and without waiving the foregoing objections, Plaintiff responds as follows:

- 23 • Appeal of Discrimination and Harassment Decision (June 22, 2020)
- 24 • Discrimination and Retaliation Complaint (Aug. 18, 2020)
- 25 • FWD: Status on Decision for Discrimination and Harassment (Oct. 5, 2020))
- 26 • Response to Appeal of Discrimination and Harassment (Dec. 10, 2020)
- 27 • Workplace Sign-Up Sheet

28 Plaintiff reserves the right to supplement this answer.

1 **INTERROGATORY NO. 14.:** Please state all the facts, including names, addresses
2 and telephone numbers of witnesses with knowledge of such facts, supporting your allegations in
3 paragraph 8 of your Complaint that Roads Division supervisors and employees subject Plaintiffs
4 to anti-Latino remarks and conduct, including racial jokes and insults about Latinos and
5 immigrants and “Roads Division supervisors and employees have referred to Latinos as
6 ‘beaners,’ ‘spics,’ and ‘a cancer.’” In your response, describe specific instances where you,
7 Plaintiff Alanis, were subjected to such remarks and conduct and include a detailed description
8 of the conduct, identify the date that such conduct occurred, state the name and contact
9 information for each individual who you claim engaged in such conduct. For each instance
10 identified, please explain whether you reported the incident, and please set forth the identity of
11 each individual to whom you reported the incident, the date the instance was reported, and the
12 circumstances of the report.

ANSWER:

14 Plaintiff incorporates his General Objections above as though fully set forth herein.
15 Plaintiff further objects to this request as premature, burdensome, oppressive, harassing, and
16 abusive of the discovery process to the extent the interrogatory, individually and cumulatively,
17 calls for the disclosure of information that supports the contentions and allegations in
18 the Complaint. Contention interrogatories are premature and improper at this juncture of the
19 litigation before discovery has not been completed. Also, Plaintiff objects that this request is
20 premature because the answer depends on information in Defendant's possession. Plaintiff
21 further objects that this request calls for information that is more readily available to Defendant,
22 including information contained in Defendant's files, and which Plaintiff is therefore not under
23 the obligation to produce. Plaintiff further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is
24 overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, and disproportional to the needs of the case.
25 Plaintiff further objects to this request on the basis that it calls for information protected by the
26 attorney-client privilege and attorney work-product doctrine. Plaintiff objects that this question
27 seeks disclosure of witnesses and information collected by interviews, and therefore violates the
28 attorney work product exemption and seeks information protected by attorney-client privilege.

1 Plaintiff also objects that this question is unduly burdensome, oppressive, and harassing in
 2 nature, and/or would require Plaintiff to incur an undue expenditure of money and time to
 3 respond to the request for every person who witnessed Defendant's discrimination.

4 Without waiving these objections, particularly because Plaintiffs are still discovering
 5 relevant information, Plaintiff responds that his allegations are in his Complaint, paragraphs 1 to
 6 82 and described above in Answer to Interrogatory No. 12. Defendant is in the best position to
 7 ascertain the contact information for its current and former employees. Plaintiff reserves the
 8 right to supplement this answer.

9 **REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 13.:** Please produce true and correct copies of
 10 any documents or tangible items related to or supporting your response to the preceding
 11 Interrogatory.

12 **RESPONSE:**

13 Plaintiff asserts all the General Objections listed above. In particular, Plaintiff objects
 14 to the Request to the extent that it seeks information which is privileged under attorney-client
 15 privilege and/or protected from disclosure under the work-product doctrine, including draft
 16 reports. Plaintiff also objects to the extent Defendant seeks documents or information that is
 17 readily or more accessible to Defendant from Defendant's own files, from documents or
 18 information in Defendant's possession, or from documents or information that Defendant
 19 previously produced to Plaintiff, and which Plaintiff is therefore not under the obligation to
 20 produce.

21 Subject to, and without waiving the foregoing objections, Plaintiff responds as follows:

- 22 • Appeal of Discrimination and Harassment Decision (June 22, 2020)
- 23 • Discrimination and Retaliation Complaint (Aug. 18, 2020)
- 24 • FWD: Status on Decision for Discrimination and Harassment (Oct. 5, 2020))
- 25 • Response to Appeal of Discrimination and Harassment (Dec. 10, 2020)
- 26 • Workplace Sign-Up Sheet

27 Plaintiff reserves the right to supplement this answer.

28

ANSWER:

2 Plaintiff incorporates his General Objections above. Plaintiff further objects to this
3 request as burdensome, oppressive, harassing, and abusive of the discovery process to the extent
4 the interrogatory, individually and cumulatively, calls for the disclosure of information that is
5 not relevant to any contentions and allegations in the Complaint, and irrelevant to any party's
6 claim or defense and proportional to the needs of the case. Plaintiff further objects that this
7 question invades his right to privacy because it requests information from individuals who are
8 not persons referred to or reference a person in the Complaint. Plaintiff further objects to this
9 question because it seeks information that is neither relevant to the subject matter of this action
10 nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

11 Subject to, and without waiving the foregoing objections, Plaintiff responds as follows:

- Martha Alanis (wife)

14 || Dated: January 14, 2022

MEXICAN AMERICAN LEGAL DEFENSE AND EDUCATIONAL FUND

By: /s/Andrés R. Holguin-Flores
Leticia Saucedo
Andrés R. Holguin-Flores
Luis L. Lozada
634 S. Spring Street, 11th Floor
Los Angeles, California 90014
lsaucedo@maldef.org
aholguin-flores@maldef.org
llozada@maldef.org
scontreras@maldef.org

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

BRESKIN, JOHNSON, TOWNSEND PLLC

Roger M. Townsend, WSBA No. 25525 1000
Second Avenue, Suite 3670
Seattle, WA 98104
Telephone: (206) 652-8660 Facsimile: (206) 652-8290 rtownsend@bjtlegal.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

VERIFICATION

I, Ray Alanis, certify and declare under penalty of perjury under 28 U.S.C. §1764 and the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing answers and responses to DEFENDANT CLARK COUNTY'S INTERROGATORIES AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS, SET ONE TO PLAINTIFF Ray Alanis are true and correct.

Dated: 1/14/2022 Long al

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that on January 14, 2022, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served upon the parties listed below *via email*:

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Roger M. Townsend
BRESKIN JOHNSON & TOWNSEND
PLLC
1000 Second Ave., Suite 3670
Seattle, WA 98104
Phone: 206-652-8660
Fax: 206-652-8290
Email: rtownsend@bjtlegal.com

Attorneys for Defendant

Jayne L. Freeman
Audrey M. Airut Murphy
KEATING BUCKLIN & MCCORMACK,
INC., P.S.
801 2nd Avenue, Suite 1210
Seattle, Washington 98104
Phone: 206-623-8861
Fax: 206-223-9423
Email: JFreeman@kbmlawyers.com
amurphy@kbmlawyers.com
LWalker@kbmlawyers.com
LMartin@kbmlawyers.com

DATED this 14th day of January 2022 in Sacramento, California.

/s/ Susana Contreras

Susana Contreras

1 The Honorable David G. Estudillo
2
3
4
5
6
7
8

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

10 ELIAS PEÑA, ISAIAH HUTSON, and
11 RAY ALANIS,

12 Plaintiffs,

13 v.

14 CLARK COUNTY, WASHINGTON,

15 Defendant.

Case No.: 3:21-CV-05411-DGE

16 PLAINTIFF ISAIAH HUTSON'S RESPONSES
17 TO DEFENDANT'S FIRST
18 INTERROGATORIES AND REQUESTS FOR
19 PRODUCTION

20 JUDGE: Hon. Judge David G. Estudillo

21 TO: Defendant Clark County, Washington

22 Jayne L. Freeman, Audrey M. Airut Murphy

23 KEATING BUCKLIN & MCCORMACK, INC., P.S.

24 Attorneys for Defendant

1 **RESPONSE:**

2 Plaintiff asserts all the General Objections listed above. In particular, Plaintiff objects
 3 that this Request is overbroad and unduly burdensome, in that it specifies no time period for the
 4 documents sought. Plaintiff objects to the extent that this Request seeks information that is not
 5 relevant to the subject matter or reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible
 6 evidence. Plaintiff also objects to the Request to the extent it is vague, ambiguous, oppressive,
 7 and unduly burdensome. Plaintiff further objects to the extent the Request seeks documents that
 8 are irrelevant to any party's claim or defense and proportional to the needs of the case. Plaintiff
 9 responds as follows: Plaintiff does not possess any non-privileged information to establish that
 10 he was a party to a previous civil or criminal lawsuit that is relevant under Federal Rule of
 11 Evidence 609.

12 **INTERROGATORY NO. 12.:** Please state each and every fact, including names,
 13 addresses and telephone numbers of witnesses with knowledge of such facts, upon which you
 14 base your allegation in paragraph 1 of Plaintiff's Complaint that Defendant Clark County
 15 discriminated against Plaintiff Hutson on the basis of race and national origin. Please include in
 16 your response the name, phone number, and address of each witness with knowledge of such
 17 facts.

18 **ANSWER:**

19 Plaintiff incorporates his General Objections above as though fully set forth herein.
 20 Plaintiff further objects to this request as premature, burdensome, oppressive, harassing, and
 21 abusive of the discovery process to the extent the interrogatory, individually and cumulatively,
 22 calls for the disclosure of information that supports the contentions and allegations in
 23 the Complaint. Contentious interrogatories are premature and improper at this juncture of the
 24 litigation before discovery has not been completed. Also, Plaintiff objects that this request is
 25 premature because the answer depends on information in Defendant's possession. Plaintiff
 26 further objects to the extent Defendant seeks information that is readily or more accessible to
 27 Defendant from Defendant's own files, from documents or information in Defendant's
 28 possession, or from documents or information that Defendant previously produced to Plaintiff,

1 and which Plaintiff is therefore not under the obligation to produce. Plaintiff further objects to
 2 this interrogatory on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, and
 3 irrelevant to any party's claim or defense and proportional to the needs of the case. Plaintiff
 4 further objects to this request on the basis that it calls for information protected by the attorney-
 5 client privilege and attorney work-product doctrine. Plaintiff objects that this question seeks
 6 disclosure of witnesses and information collected by interviews, and therefore violates the
 7 attorney work product exemption and seeks information protected by attorney-client privilege.
 8 Plaintiff also objects that this question is unduly burdensome, oppressive, and harassing in
 9 nature, and/or would require Plaintiff to incur an undue expenditure of money and time to
 10 respond to the request for "each and every" person who witnessed Defendant's discrimination.

11 Without waiving these objections, particularly because Plaintiffs are in the process of
 12 discovering relevant information, Plaintiff responds that his allegations are in his Complaint,
 13 paragraphs 1 to 82. He further responds that, sometime in 2017, Plaintiff heard a crew chief tell
 14 the crew "[the] new Trump wall is working because we only have one Mexican left to get rid
 15 of."

16 In the latter part of 2017, Plaintiff's supervisors began to treat him with hostility in
 17 contrast to their non-Latino colleagues. Sometime in 2017, Plaintiff learned that a crew chief
 18 said "the County is going to shit because they keep hiring all of these beaners and spics."
 19 Plaintiff reported the statement to his superintendent, but did not receive a response.

20 Through most of time period of around 2018, supervisors began to overly-scrutinized
 21 Plaintiffs' work, especially when they worked as the interim crew chief. Crew chiefs repeatedly
 22 encouraged and selected non-Latino employees to fill in as interim crew chief whenever
 23 Plaintiffs volunteered for the position.

24 Crew chiefs directed additional racist remarks to and around Plaintiffs. Around the
 25 summer of 2018, Plaintiff heard a crew chief say "it's a good day at the County boys. Trump is
 26 kicking out the Mexicans and the cops are killing ni**ers."

27 Beginning in around 2019, crew chiefs referred to the primarily Latino crew that
 28 included Plaintiffs: the "Manuel labor crew" (replace the English word "manual" with the

1 Spanish name “Manuel”); the “Brown crew”; the “Landscaping crew”; and, that they “work for
 2 their White master.” Around May 2019, Plaintiff heard a crew chief, in a threatening manner,
 3 that Latinos were “cancers” who made the County worse, in reference to the increase of Latinos
 4 who moved to Clark County. The crew chief implied violence against Latinos when he said that
 5 he was “working on getting rid of cancer in the County” and that he would “cut it out because
 6 some of ‘them’ think they are untouchable.” Plaintiff Hutson immediately reported the
 7 statement to Defendant human resources employee. On information and belief, Defendant did
 8 not investigate and/or discipline the crew chief for his remarks.

9 Defendant subjected Plaintiff to a pattern of additional procedures to obtain additional
 10 pay and benefits to which they are entitled that their non-Latino colleagues are not subjected to.
 11 Plaintiff experienced differential treatment in pay, which was ultimately resolved in the
 12 grievance process. Non-Latino employees appeared to receive the additional pay as a matter of
 13 course, however Plaintiff had to file union grievances to obtain the same extra earned pay.

14 In the December 2019 and January 2020 meetings with HR Representatives, Plaintiff
 15 complained that he felt humiliated by supervisors’ mistreatment and the racist remarks. Plaintiff
 16 shared all of the complaints about discrimination that he had shared during meetings with
 17 Defendant’s human resources employees around March and April 2020.

18 On or around June 18, 2020, Defendant human resources letter to Plaintiffs stated that
 19 Defendant’s human resources department concluded that “no County policies were violated.”
 20 Plaintiffs then filed a combined appeal of Defendant’s human resources department’s decision to
 21 dismiss their complaints.

22 In around late August 2020, Plaintiff met the County manager. Plaintiff reiterated his
 23 complaints in greater detail. On or around December 10, 2020, the County informed Plaintiffs
 24 that it did not find a violation of any County policies. Plaintiff exhausted his efforts to remedy
 25 the discrimination through the County.

26 On or around February 18, 2021, for multiple days Plaintiffs were subjected to seeing a
 27 sign-up sheet for extra work that was posted in a common area in which someone crossed out
 28 Latino employee’s name and replaced it with “Donald J. Trump.” As a result of Defendant’s

1 maintenance of a hostile work environment, Plaintiff suffered harm, including emotional
 2 distress, in an amount to be determined at trial.

3 Without waiving these objections, while Plaintiffs are still discovering relevant
 4 information, Plaintiff responds that the identities of the potential witnesses are in his Initial
 5 Disclosures on pages 2 to 5 and described above in Answer to Interrogatory No. 12. Plaintiff
 6 further responds that these witnesses include:

- 7 • Union representative Larry Clark
- 8 • Union representative Darryl Young
- 9 • Jeff Tuttle
- 10 • Isidrio Flores
- 11 • Julio Morales
- 12 • Ed Day
- 13 • Pat Cosgrove
- 14 • Andrew Smith
- 15 • Kenny Hugo
- 16 • Kara Hill
- 17 • Mande Lawrence
- 18 • Carl Oman
- 19 • Ahmad Quayomi
- 20 • Micah Passmore
- 21 • Eddie Perez
- 22 • Gauge Bryant

23 Defendant is in the best position to ascertain the contact information for its current and
 24 former employees. Plaintiff reserves the right to supplement this answer.

25 **REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 11.:** Please produce any documents or tangible
 26 item that is related to or supports your response to the preceding Interrogatory.

27 **RESPONSE:**

28 Plaintiff asserts all the General Objections listed above. In particular, Plaintiff objects

1 not relevant to any contentions and allegations in the Complaint, and irrelevant to any party's
 2 claim or defense and proportional to the needs of the case. Plaintiff further objects that this
 3 question invades his right to privacy because it requests information from individuals who are
 4 not persons referred to or reference a person in the Complaint. Plaintiff further objects to this
 5 question because it seeks information that is neither relevant to the subject matter of this action
 6 nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.

7 Subject to, and without waiving the foregoing objections, Plaintiff responds as follows:

8

- 9 • Sabrina Hutson (360-909-6672)
- 10 • Ray Woodson, Jr. (360-518-3784)
- 11 • Pastor Ray Woodson, Sr. (360-798-3232)

12 Dated: January 14, 2022

MEXICAN AMERICAN LEGAL
 DEFENSE AND EDUCATIONAL FUND

13

14 By: /s/Andrés R. Holguin-Flores
 15 Leticia Saucedo
 16 Andrés R. Holguin-Flores
 17 Luis L. Lozada
 18 634 S. Spring Street, 11th Floor
 19 Los Angeles, California 90014
 lsaucedo@maldef.org
 aholguin-flores@maldef.org
 llozada@maldef.org
 scontreras@maldef.org
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

20

21 BRESKIN, JOHNSON, TOWNSEND PLLC

22

23 Roger M. Townsend, WSBA No. 25525 1000
 24 Second Avenue, Suite 3670
 Seattle, WA 98104
 Telephone: (206) 652-8660 Facsimile: (206) 652-
 8290 rtownsend@bjtlegal.com

25 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs*

26
 27
 28

1 **VERIFICATION**
2
3

I, Isaiah Hutson, certify and declare under penalty of perjury under 28 U.S.C. §1764
4 and the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing answers and responses to
5 DEFENDANT CLARK COUNTY'S INTERROGATORIES AND REQUEST FOR
6 PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS, SET ONE TO PLAINTIFF Isaiah Hutson are true
7 and correct.

8
9
10 Dated: 1/13/22 Isaiah Hutson
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that on January 14, 2022, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served upon the parties listed below *via email*:

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Roger M. Townsend
BRESKIN JOHNSON & TOWNSEND
PLLC
1000 Second Ave., Suite 3670
Seattle, WA 98104
Phone: 206-652-8660
Fax: 206-652-8290
Email: rtownsend@bjtlegal.com

Attorneys for Defendant

Jayne L. Freeman
Audrey M. Airut Murphy
KEATING BUCKLIN & MCCORMACK,
INC., P.S.
801 2nd Avenue, Suite 1210
Seattle, Washington 98104
Phone: 206-623-8861
Fax: 206-223-9423
Email: JFreeman@kbmlawyers.com
amurphy@kbmlawyers.com
LWalker@kbmlawyers.com
LMartin@kbmlawyers.com

DATED this 14th day of January 2022 in Sacramento, California.

/s/ Susana Contreras

Susana Contreras

1 The Honorable David G. Estudillo
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11
12 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
13
14

15 ELIAS PEÑA, ISAIAH HUTSON, and
16 RAY ALANIS,
17

18 Plaintiffs,
19
20 v.
21

22 CLARK COUNTY, WASHINGTON,
23

24 Defendant.
25
26

27 Case No.: 3:21-CV-05411-DGE
28

PLAINTIFF ELIAS PEÑA'S RESPONSES TO
DEFENDANT'S FIRST INTERROGATORIES
AND REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION

JUDGE: Hon. Judge David G. Estudillo

16 TO: Defendant Clark County, Washington
17
18 Jayne L. Freeman, Audrey M. Airut Murphy
19
20 KEATING BUCKLIN & MCCORMACK, INC., P.S.
21
22 Attorneys for Defendant
23
24
25
26
27
28

responds as follows: Plaintiff does not possess any non-privileged documents.

INTERROGATORY NO. 12.: Please state each and every fact, including names, addresses and telephone numbers of witnesses with knowledge of such facts, upon which you base your allegation in paragraph 1 of Plaintiff's Complaint that Defendant Clark County discriminated against Plaintiff Peña on the basis of race and national origin. Please include in your response the name, phone number, and address of each witness with knowledge of such facts.

ANSWER:

Plaintiff incorporates his General Objections above as though fully set forth herein. Plaintiff further objects to this request as premature, burdensome, oppressive, harassing, and abusive of the discovery process to the extent the interrogatory, individually and cumulatively, calls for the disclosure of information that supports the contentions and allegations in the Complaint. Contention interrogatories are premature and improper at this juncture of the litigation before discovery has not been completed. Also, Plaintiff objects that this request is premature because the answer depends on information in Defendant's possession. Plaintiff further objects to the extent Defendant seeks information that is readily or more accessible to Defendant from Defendant's own files, from documents or information in Defendant's possession, or from documents or information that Defendant previously produced to Plaintiff, and which Plaintiff is therefore not under the obligation to produce. Plaintiff further objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is overly broad, unduly burdensome, oppressive, and irrelevant to any party's claim or defense and proportional to the needs of the case. Plaintiff further objects to this request on the basis that it calls for information protected by the attorney-client privilege and attorney work-product doctrine. Plaintiff objects that this question seeks disclosure of witnesses and information collected by interviews, and therefore violates the attorney work product exemption and seeks information protected by attorney-client privilege. Plaintiff also objects that this question is unduly burdensome, oppressive, and harassing in nature, and/or would require Plaintiff to incur an undue expenditure of money and time to respond to the request for "each and every" person who witnessed Defendant's discrimination.

Without waiving these objections, particularly because Plaintiffs are in the process of discovering relevant information, Plaintiff responds that his allegations are in his Complaint, paragraphs 1 to 82. He further responds that, on or about June 2017, a crew chief, when referring to Plaintiff Peña and Plaintiff Hutson moving to a different shed, told the crew “[the] new Trump wall is working because we only have one Mexican left to get rid of.”

In the latter part of 2017, Plaintiff’s supervisors began to treat Plaintiff Peña and Plaintiff Hutson with hostility in contrast to their non-Latino colleagues. On or about October 2017, Plaintiff learned that a crew chief said “the County is going to shit because they keep hiring all of these beaners and spics.” Plaintiff Hutson reported the statement to his superintendent, but did not receive any additional response.

Through most of 2018, Plaintiffs’ superintendent overly-scrutinized Plaintiffs’ work, especially when they worked as the interim crew chief. Crew chiefs repeatedly encouraged and selected non-Latino employees to fill in as interim crew chief whenever Plaintiffs volunteered for the position.

Crew chiefs directed additional racist remarks to and around Plaintiffs. Around the summer of 2018, a former crew chief walked into a break room and said “it’s a good day at the County boys. Trump is kicking out the Mexicans and the cops are killing ni**ers.”

Beginning in or around 2019, crew chiefs referred to the primarily Latino crew that included Plaintiffs: the “Manuel labor crew” (replace the English word “manual” with the Spanish name “Manuel”); the “Brown crew”; the “Landscaping crew”; and, that they “work for their White master.”

Defendant subjected Plaintiffs to a pattern of additional procedures to obtain additional pay and benefits to which they are entitled that their non-Latino colleagues are not subjected to. Plaintiff experienced differential treatment in pay, which they ultimately resolved in the grievance process. Non-Latino employees appeared to receive the additional pay as a matter of course, however Plaintiffs had to file union grievances to obtain the same earned extra pay.

On or about July 2019, a superintendent insisted that Plaintiff Peña’s employment was in jeopardy if he did not obtain a specialized license from the Washington Department of Licensing

1 in an unreasonably short amount of time because Plaintiff Peña could not conceivably complete
 2 the pre-requisite training for the license. On information and belief, two non-Latino employees
 3 were permitted months to obtain the same license. Plaintiff Peña had to file a union grievance
 4 for additional time, which resulted in him having more training time, however, Defendant did
 5 not respond to Plaintiff Peña's complaints that the conduct was discriminatory.

6 In the December 2019 and January 2020 meetings with County HR representatives
 7 Plaintiff Peña complained that he felt humiliated by supervisors' mistreatment and racist
 8 remarks. Plaintiffs shared all of the complaints about discrimination that they had shared during
 9 meetings with Defendant's human resources representatives on or about March and April 2020.

10 On or around June 18, 2020, Defendant human resources sent Plaintiff a letter that stated
 11 that Defendant's human resources department concluded that "no County policies were
 12 violated." Plaintiffs then filed a combined appeal of Defendant's human resources department's
 13 decision to dismiss their complaints.

14 On or about July 1, 2020, Plaintiffs Peña and Alanis shared a work truck together. On or
 15 around July 3, 2020, Plaintiff Alanis tested positive for COVID-19. On or about July 5, 2020, a
 16 superintendent, upon learning that Plaintiff Alanis had tested positive, sent home two non-Latino
 17 employees who had worked with Plaintiff Alanis and Plaintiff Peña a few days earlier. Plaintiff
 18 Peña informed his supervisor that he had also worked with Plaintiff Alanis so he requested time
 19 off to take a COVID-19 test and quarantine, but the supervisor denied Plaintiff Peña's request

20 On or about August 2020, Plaintiff Peña met with Defendant regarding his appeal. He
 21 provided all of the complaints above in greater detail. On or about December 10, 2020,
 22 Defendant informed Plaintiffs that it did not find a violation of any County policies. Plaintiff
 23 exhausted his efforts to remedy the discrimination through the County.

24 On or about February 18, 2021, for multiple days Plaintiffs were subjected to seeing a
 25 sign-up sheet for extra work that was posted in a common area in which someone crossed out
 26 Latino employee's name and replaced it with "Donald J. Trump." As a result of Defendant's
 27 maintenance of a hostile work environment, Plaintiff suffered harm, including emotional
 28 distress, in an amount to be determined at trial.

Without waiving these objections, while Plaintiffs are still discovering relevant information, Plaintiff responds that the identities of the potential witnesses are in his Initial Disclosures on pages 2 to 5 and described above in Answer to Interrogatory No. 12. Plaintiff further responds that these witnesses include:

- Union representative Larry Clark
- Union representative Darryl Young
- Isidrio Flores
- Julio Morales
- Andrew Smith
- Kenny Hugo
- Kara Hill
- Mande Lawrence
- Carl Oman
- Ahmad Quayomi
- Micah Passmore
- Eddie Perez
- Gauge Bryant
- Marc Smith

Defendant is in the best position to ascertain the contact information for its current and former employees. Plaintiff reserves the right to supplement this answer.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 11.: Please produce any documents or tangible item that is related to or supports your response to the preceding Interrogatory.

RESPONSE:

Plaintiff asserts all the General Objections listed above. In particular, Plaintiff objects to the Request to the extent that it seeks information which is privileged under attorney-client privilege and/or protected from disclosure under the work-product doctrine, including draft reports. Plaintiff also objects to the extent Defendant seeks documents or information that is readily or more accessible to Defendant from Defendant's own files, from documents or

ANSWER:

2 Plaintiff incorporates his General Objections above. Plaintiff further objects to this
3 request as burdensome, oppressive, harassing, and abusive of the discovery process to the extent
4 the interrogatory, individually and cumulatively, calls for the disclosure of information that is
5 not relevant to any contentions and allegations in the Complaint. Plaintiff further objects that
6 this question invades his right to privacy because it requests information from individuals who
7 are not persons referred to or reference a person in the Complaint. Plaintiff further objects to
8 this question because it seeks information that is neither relevant to the subject matter of this
9 action nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Subject to, and
10 without waiving the foregoing objections, Plaintiff responds as follows:

- Jeremy Fields (Clark County detective)
 - Phone number: 360-721-1964
- Tey Draszt (VA contractor)
 - Phone number: 360-771-7477

15 || Dated: January 14, 2022

MEXICAN AMERICAN LEGAL DEFENSE AND EDUCATIONAL FUND

By: /s/Luis L. Lozada
Leticia Saucedo
Andrés R. Holguin-Flores
Luis L. Lozada
634 S. Spring Street, 11th Floor
Los Angeles, California 90014
lsaucedo@maldef.org
aholguin-flores@maldef.org
llozada@maldef.org
scontreras@maldef.org
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

24 BRESKIN, JOHNSON, TOWNSEND PLLC

Roger M. Townsend, WSBA No. 25525 1000
Second Avenue, Suite 3670
Seattle, WA 98104
Telephone: (206) 652-8660 Facsimile: (206) 652-8290 rtownsend@bitlegal.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

1
2 **VERIFICATION**
3

4 I, _____, certify and declare under penalty of perjury under 28 U.S.C. §1764
5 and the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing answers and responses to
6 DEFENDANT CLARK COUNTY'S INTERROGATORIES AND REQUEST FOR
7 PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS, SET ONE TO PLAINTIFF _____ are true
8 and correct.
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Dated: _____

VERIFICATION

I, Elias Pena, certify and declare under penalty of perjury under 28 U.S.C. §1764 and the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing answers and responses to DEFENDANT CLARK COUNTY'S INTERROGATORIES AND REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS, SET ONE TO PLAINTIFF are true and correct.
Elias Pena

Dated:

1/13/22

DECLARATION OF SERVICE

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that on January 14, 2022, a true and correct copy of the foregoing was served upon the parties listed below via email:

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Roger M. Townsend
BRESKIN JOHNSON & TOWNSEND
PLLC
1000 Second Ave., Suite 3670
Seattle, WA 98104
Phone: 206-652-8660
Fax: 206-652-8290
Email: rtownsend@bjtlegal.com

Attorneys for Defendant

Jayne L. Freeman
Audrey M. Airut Murphy
KEATING BUCKLIN & MCCORMACK,
INC., P.S.
801 2nd Avenue, Suite 1210
Seattle, Washington 98104
Phone: 206-623-8861
Fax: 206-223-9423
Email: JFreeman@kbmlawyers.com
amurphy@kbmlawyers.com
LWalker@kbmlawyers.com
LMartin@kbmlawyers.com

DATED this 14th day of January, 2022, in Sacramento, California.

/s/ Susana Contreras

Susana Contreras